Planning Board Meeting

2 August 22, 2023, Meeting House - Final Minutes

Members Present

- 4 Greg Meeh (Chair), John Schneider (Vice Chair), Anne Dowling, Rich Marcou,
- 5 Logan Snyder, Joshua Gordon, Hillary Nelson (alternate), Ben Stonebraker
- 6 (alternate)

1

7 Members Absent

8 Kent Ruesswick (BOS rep)

9 Others present

Mike Tardiff – CNHRPC: Ray Carbone (observing as upcoming Recording Secretary)

11 Agenda

12

14

17

1. Call to Order

13 Greg Meeh called the meeting to order at 7.04 pm.

2. Previous Minutes of July 25

- 15 Rich Marcou moved to approve the previous Minutes. Anne Dowling seconded. All
- members present voted aye. Joshua Gordon abstained due to absence.

3. CNHRPC Mike Tardiff – Master Plan progress

- Mike Tardiff distributed a draft of what will be Chapter 4 in the Master Plan. It was
- a combination of parts of the previous Master Plan chapters 5 and 6, a 'buffed up
- Land Use chapter'. It closely followed the 2010 Master Plan. The draft was in Word
- 21 for ease of response from Board members when the digital version is received and
- 22 emailed to members. Then it will be put back into the Indesign software used at
- the regional planning office. There will be references to housing priorities in both
- the Land Use and Housing chapters, and links with other chapters too.
- 25 Mike brought more of the tri-folds summarizing the work to be done for
- 26 distribution at public meetings and in town.
- 27 There was discussion about terminology and alternatives for 'cluster
- development', as the Board has had before. Hillary and Greg had attended a

- Housing Academy training and heard that other towns are looking at this issue.
- 30 Some alternatives have been Open Space/Neighborhood/Conservation Housing.
- 31 Mike said his office could supply the list of alternatives used in NH recently.

4. Town Meeting 2024 priorities

- 33 Greg asked what the priorities for Town Meeting 2024 would be. Mike had ideas
- based on the work his staff had been doing. Mike suggested that the Board
- 35 create a small subcommittee to work with his staff on the issues of zoning
- amendments and the drafting of the Land Use Handbook for Canterbury. This is
- work that can be done without having a deadline for Town Meeting.
- 38 He suggested starting with Mixed Use at Exit 17-18 (and Rt 106) and a simpler
- cluster amendment to take to Town Meeting.
- 40 Members discussed issues regarding cluster developments again. Perhaps the lots
- could be smaller. Maybe the frontage could be reduced as well. The current limit
- for frontage where the development's interior road comes out onto a town or
- state road is relatively high and varies by zone. It was suggested that a fixed
- number is helpful and does work as an objective standard. There was also
- discussion about the types of household properties that could be built --
- 46 farmhouse style with a mix of big house/little house/apartments for instance.
- There was general agreement that the Board would not want to be too restrictive
- 48 about aesthetic issues but that density bonuses can be offered if certain
- 49 guidelines are followed and Conditional Use Permits are issued. Essentially this
- was the 'carrot not stick approach', the more dense the development the less
- infrastructure was needed. The Board could also require that trees and vegetation
- at the front of a property are kept in place to offer screening for the development
- 53 within.

- 54 Greg and Hillary had heard at the Housing Academy training that the current ADU
- 55 maximum in Canterbury is about right.
- So for now, the zoning amendments to be considered are for Mixed Use, and
- simplifying 'cluster' development. The subcommittee will consist of Greg, John
- and Rich. It was agreed that they would meet with Mike on Tuesday September
- 59 **5 at 5 pm. Secretary to arrange for Meeting House to be available.** There was

some discussion about whether or not that would constitute a meeting that had to be publicly noticed. It would not be a quorum of the members.

5. Charette with Plan NH organization?

- 63 Greg reported that he had asked about having a charette in town for housing
- issues, from Plan NH. It was agreed that this is something that could be pursued
- next year after the zoning amendment changes are made.
- In terms of the Invest NH grant, Greg and Hillary have done a training. Mike noted
- that some towns do not send representatives for training so Canterbury is ahead
- in some ways. The next training is online tomorrow.
- 69 Mike also reported about some potential progress on broadband provision with a
- joint project between Canterbury, Loudon and Comcast, using a matching grant
- program from the Northern Regional Border Commission.

6. Changes to Planning Board regulations

- Greg mentioned that he, the Secretary and PB Associate Mandy Irving had met
- vith Ken Folsom and discussed some changes to the Planning Board regulations
- and procedures that would bring Canterbury more in line with other towns (ex.
- Loudon). These changes will need to be communicated to Mike for inclusion in
- their drafting of the Land Use Handbook.
 - a) Greg moved that the first meeting at which the board considers a site plan or subdivision will be to determine completeness only.
 - Logan seconded the motion, and all voted in favor.

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

78

79

80

72

- b) All applications and supporting materials shall be submitted digitally. Joshua seconded, and all voted in favor.
- c) Greg moved that Plats should be submitted digitally and 5 full size hard copies shall be available at the Town Office no less than 10 days before consideration of completeness. Joshua seconded. All voted in favor.
- d) Greg moved that assistance can be requested from the Planning Board staff, the Planning Board Associate, for digital submission. Joshua seconded and all in favor.

- e) Greg moved that all application materials and supporting documents shall
 be distributed to board members by the Planning Board staff within a
 reasonable time before consideration of completeness. Joshua seconded and
 all voted in favor.
- **f)** Election of the Chair shall be held as soon as practical after Town Meeting **but no later than May 15.**

7. Flagpole Height Ordinance

Joshua had shared an initial draft of 'Proposed Height Ordinances" zoning amendment. It would change Article 2.6 to "Signs and Flagpoles". It suggested a height limit of 30 feet for flagpoles and a maximum size of 5ftx8ft based on research into the flag industry. With regard to a maximum Building Height, it was suggested that would be 40 feet. The Fire Chief, who appreciated being consulted, had made it clear that the town does not have a ladder truck so other towns offer mutual aid as needed. There was some discussion about possibly having a different height limit in the commercial and industrial zone. Perhaps businesses should be required to get a Conditional Use Permit if they need to build any higher.

8. <u>Discontinued Road research – relevant to Shell Meeting House Road,</u> Sunset Hill Road and Wyven Road

Greg and Joshua shared some research into the laws governing discontinued roads in the state. In the past Wayne Mann had researched some of the discontinued roads in Canterbury, and more recently Tyson Miller and Hillary Nelson had done that for the Planning Board.

One issue that makes the research difficult is that the legislative body, Town Meeting, has to formally vote to discontinue a road. It appears on a Town Meeting agenda, but in order to find out how the town voted, one has to look at the Minutes made public later, and the vote numbers are not included. For decisions made decades ago, this means researching in the state archives for Town Meeting Minutes, not just looking at the Town Report.

Greg had looked at records from the 1920s and early 1940s to see what votes were taken regarding the roads in question in Canterbury. Hillary noted there was a sentence in 'A Hard Road to Travel' that mentions that 'certain' roads (ie.

122 123	not all) requiring a court decision as well as a town vote to be discontinued. After 1945 a town may vote to discontinue a road without court approval.
124 125	Joshua had researched back into the C18th. In cases where roads cross a town border and impact more than one town, or where houses were only accessible
126	from the other town, discontinuance cannot happen by vote of one Town
127	Meeting alone. Prior to 1945 such roads would need a decision from the state
128	Supreme Court but after 1945 discontinuance does not need approval from the
129	court.
130	Greg made a motion, seconded by Joshua, that:
131	Based on the town meeting warrants and minutes from 1925, 1928, 1941,
132	which are available at the NH State Archive (digital copies to be included in
133	Minutes) and the reference to case law: Williams v. Babcock, 121 NH 185
134	(1981), as directed by the NH Municipal Association publication: A HARD
135	ROAD TO TRAVEL (2015 update), page 70, in the section on Complete
136	Discontinuance. (A digital copy of the court ruling shall be included in the
137	Minutes). Additional citations: Drew v. Cotton, 68 NH 22 (1894), Town of New
138 139	London v. Davis, 73 NH 32 (1904). The opinion of the Board is that the above named roads are private roads as of the date of discontinuance.
140	All members voted in favor.
141	9. Scheduling for upcoming hearings
4.40	
142	It was agreed that the subdivision hearing for Nash and the site plan review application for Mckerley would both be on September 26, since the September
143 144	12 meeting is a public meeting in the Town Hall for Master Plan/zoning
145	amendment issues.
146	10. Adjournment
147	Greg moved to adjourn. All were in favor. It was approximately 9 pm.
148	Respectfully submitted,
149	Lois Scribner, secretary
150	