1	Draft
2	
3 4	Canterbury Planning Board
5	Work Session on Campground Ordinance
6	Tuesday 15 December 2020
7	Meeting House and telephone conference
8	
9	Board members present in person:
10	Chairman Tyson Miller, Vice-Chair Kent Ruesswick
11	
12	
13	Board members on phone:
14	Brendan O'Donnell (appointed as voting member tonight)
15	Bob Steenson (BOS representative in lieu of Cheryl Gordon)
16	Ken Folsom (Town Administrator) Lucy Nichols
17 18	Hillary Nelson
19	Mandy Irving (Planning Board Associate)
20	Wallay II villg (Flaming Board 7.0500late)
21	<u>Agenda</u>
22	
23	Tyson Miller as Chair read the announcement in accordance with Governor
24	Sununu's Emergency Order #12 and Executive Order 2020-04 regarding
25	the meeting being conducted telephonically for residents and some board
26	members. The meeting was opened at 7:04 pm. He stated that most of the
27	relevant documents were available on the Planning Board website.
28	
29	4. Approval of December 9 Minutes
30 31	1. Approval of December 8 Minutes
32	Kent Ruesswick moved that the minutes be approved and Hillary
33	seconded. Brendan requested that on line 353 'removing' should be
34	'allowing'. Members voted to accept Minutes with that alteration. Bob
35	Steenson abstained.
36	
37	Lucy Nichols said she cannot hear everything that is said over the phone
38	so the detailed minutes are helpful.
39	

- 40 Bob suggested moving the removable mics from the console round the
- room. He also mentioned that the Conservation Commission meeting on
- 42 Zoom had to be canceled due to technology difficulties. Ken Folsom said
- he was looking into 'Meetings 2' being used in Boscawen before getting the
- Zoom account. There are other platforms, Bob said. Tyson asked how the
- Town would hold public meetings like the second session of Town Meeting.
- Guidance is needed from the State, Bob replied.

2. Campground Ordinance Discussion

Tyson announced they would start with Brendan's revised ordinance text and compare with Tyson's earlier draft of the ordinance.

<u>The Statement of Purpose</u> section is fine. Bob suggested you might add 'as amended' in case the State changes their rules.

<u>Definitions</u>: The RSA is clear, and Tyson suggested some be spelled out otherwise people have to read the RSA's. Brendan agreed. Bob pointed out it was helpful to keep it that way so long as the definition is fluid with the State. It is not that difficult to look up RSAs.

Zoning Districts: in the original ordinance campgrounds are only allowed in the Agricultural and Rural zones but now it is proposed that they be allowed everywhere. Brendan had thought this was the Canterbury way of allowing people to make use of their property with light business and so on. There was discussion about the merits of listing specific zones or leaving it as it is.

Hillary mentioned that Canterbury Shaker Village (CSV) allows people to put campers in their parking lot. She thought they would not want to be excluded from this use. Bob said that a night or two in an RV for free was not a campground. Hillary asked if they should approach CSV if they wanted to be part of the conversation. Tyson pointed out that CSV would not be home occupancy use. Hillary responded Shaker Village is sui generis. It is commercial and a non-profit and different from everything else in town. Do they want it to be included? The Masterplan showed Canterbury residents in support of CSV.

Bob said they could be asked. He was not sure the Planning Board should reach out to one specific group in town because they would be reaching out

to everyone in the hearing process. Kent said the difficulty was if a fire ever got going up there it would be a big problem. Campfires could be problematic. Brendan said there had to be a permit from the Fire Department for fire pits and annual inspections. No fire was safer than

Department for fire pits and annual inspections. No fire was safer than permitted fire.

Hillary mentioned the Natural Resource Reserve which has its own zone. Campgrounds are not allowed in that zone but should be. Tyson said the camps would be 200 ft from any streams or wetlands and the Conservation Commission could look at that.

Bob asked if the land used for the campground could be in Current Use? Mandy replied popular opinion is no. It would need to come out of Current Use with a penalty assessed.

Hillary thought this should be made clear in the article. Mandy disagreed as no other ordinances mention taxable impact. Bob said it was not the Town's job to give financial advice or tax regulations into any zoning. Tyson said that Mandy as Assessor would tell people about Current Use. The curtilage under the campsite plus roads plus structures would be coming out of Current Use. It was decided to add the Natural Resource Reserve as to Agricultural and Rural.

Lucy asked what the implication was for the large site on 106?
There was discussion about that site. Brendan said that current use cannot be extended. Tyson said it had gone out of business and never operated. Ken said that land was to be purchased for a house.

Maximum Number of Occupants: It was agreed to keep that as written, at 2,4,6. So if there were 21 acres it would still be 4 campsites.

Number of Occupants: was written as 6 per site per night. Lucy wondered about limiting it to 4 people per site to keep noise down and reduce the risk of partying. Bob's opinion from the Town executive was that was unenforceable - camp owners had to enforce the noise ordinance and also the Police Department. Bob felt Brendan's approach worked. Tyson asked about guests who might just show up? Or what about day visitors to the campground facilities? Bob said it would not be enforceable though a

zoning ordinance. The owners would have to regulate these things. The

157

Code Enforcement Officer and Police would issue violations if there were 119 problems. Bob suggested delete 'each night' — Hillary said leave it in. 120 121 122 Individual Campsite Size: Tyson asked about the maps being kept up to date, could it be a condition of a license? 123 124 125 Bob thought the Town could not do that. Brendan agreed they should not add more administration on the Town. Applicants would go to Fire 126 127 Department and that was sufficient. 128 Tyson asked about trash issues. Brendan said if a violation was discovered 129 the Town would act. 130 131 Hilary asked about potable water and bathrooms. Lucy thought most 132 people were on private wells - it had to be tested and inspected. Brendan 133 said that would be a burden on the Town. It was up the business owner to 134 135 ensure things were up to date. Bob agreed the Town was not equipped for that. Nor did the Town have authority to enforce anything about water. 136 Hillary said there were bad water issues in the community and people 137 should have to show their water is good. Lucy said it was to do with 138 139 commercial use. 140 141 Hillary asked again if we know whether the DES is enforcing or licensing 142 any of this? Brendan said he would check with DES to find out which specific bureau enforces this. Tyson added he has 2 contacts for water and 143 144 septic. 145 Hillary said it would be good to have a guide on the town website to show 146 people who they would have to talk to when thinking about seting up a site. 147 Tyson agreed that advice should be there. 148 149 Bob recommended deleting the property owner annually reviewing the map 150 with Police and Fire each year — who was to enforce that? Ken stated that 151 152 applicants did have to get an annual permit from the Fire Department. They would have to do a site inspection once a year. They would be looking at 153 the map and could ask for an upgraded map if things changed. The map 154 was part of getting everything in place at the start and then during annual 155 inspections. Brendan said a copy should be required to be with Police and 156

Fire anyway. Each year they would have to get a burning permit from the

Fire Department which has to do a site inspection to give that. His hope was that the Fire Department would go regularly.

<u>Setbacks</u>: It was agreed to change that to 'See zoning ordinance'. Bob asked about the 200 ft limit from a pond or a stream, which seemed restrictive. Brendan responded it was typical. Wetlands and water bodies were protected, avoiding disturbing the site leading to erosion or water pollution. It was agreed to leave that as it was but to add 'wetland' after 'lake'.

There was some discussion about imposing more restrictive setbacks. Bob stated that Zoning addressed use and the Planning Board addresses the specifics of a site. A specific variance to that specific thing would be necessary. Brendan said that was more of a site plan review function.

<u>Impact Limitations:</u> Brendan said the Planning Board could be allowed to adapt to specific situations as needed.

Hillary asked if there were no more than 30 occupancy days - is that contiguous or total? Brendan replied it was total. More than 30 days was like a RV campground. Bob said he would not object to that - the length of stay provision was not something they could enforce.

Tyson asked if there would be a registration book? Bob said the key concern was to make sure this did not become year-round housing. Brendan commented the definition of a recreational campground was recreational only. No one can establish permanent residency. It is incorporated in the definition. Line 106 says no site can be an all-round residence.

 Lucy asked about RVs on a seasonal basis - would you be there all season? Does that mean on closing day you have to remove until the next opening day? Brendan responded they had to be vehicles that can be driven off. All campers and RVs would have to be removed no later than November 15.

Mandy said campground operators had to provide a list of campers before April 1. The list was given to Assessors around May to see who has to be taxed for the year. Anything wider than 8 ft 6 ins counts. Mandy will send out reminders to tell them to give the list. It should say that the campers have to be registered too.

There was discussion about the prohibition of the recreational use of off highway vehicles. Hillary believed that residents cannot use off road vehicles commercially, only privately. Tyson said there will be 4 wheelers. Hillary said that one of the campsites had stipulated no off-road vehicles. The Town permits snow mobiles but ATV's were restricted. Bob said it was in the State law so did not need to be excluded. He suggested they could write in that campground owners could not allow these vehicles to be driven, so that would be clear. Brendan said it would be the visitors not the landowners who would be prohibited. A line would be added.

Ken asked about Buildings and Facilities: Brendan said applicants would have to show they need a building for operation of the campground. Otherwise there is no automatic right to other buildings. Bob said he was concerned about managing this ordinance, what does 'necessary' mean? Should 10 be struck? Hillary worried this could be exploited in some way. There was discussion about adding other buildings that are said to be accessory to dwelling. Tyson said the State allows tiny Cabins. Brendan said someone could ask to do that - they are allowed as it is currently written if they are less than 400 sq feet. He added that if someone was using it permanently the Town could take action via the Code Enforcement Officer. To rent something like this on Air B and B would need a special exception. Bob suggested none of this applies as it is not a campground.

Access and Fire Safety: There was discussion about driveway permits. DOT want to make sure driveways are sufficient. Mandy asked about second driveway access on a property. Brendan stated for the State it would need a new permit and proposed driveways would be put to Planning Board for site plan review. In most cases the grounds would have separate driveways, Tyson said. It would be on a case by case basis, Brendan responded.

<u>Access and Fire Safety - Roads</u>: There was discussion about whether or not they have to be graveled or paved? Tyson questioned this. Brendan said the road has to be good enough for a Fire Department all terrain vehicle to get down there but not an ambulance. That requirement would be deleted.

Bob thought it was about getting the Fire Department access. The Town would not want people to build roads on campsites. Brendan said keep lines 95-8 so that the Fire Department would have to approve of any plans for access.

<u>Operational requirements</u>: at present the proposal is for a campground season from March 21-15 November.

There was discussion about this as a requirement. There is concern that someone staying could become a permanent resident. Brendan clarified campground dwellers are not tenants and do not have such rights. It was felt that there should be at least one month in the year where campgrounds closed, but which month? It was decided to leave it as it is and also to require RV's to be gone by November 16.

<u>Water and Sewage</u>: Bob stated that it should be left with the DES, who have said there should be a faucet and available to use. DES can then say you have to test it from that one site too. Hillary asked if this requires that the campground owner must test the water. Ken said municipal water supplies have to be tested per levels of occupancy and the Town has to do this test monthly.

<u>Permits</u>: Bob stated there are no operating licenses in the town so strike lines 131-34. Tyson asked who would be doing that? Ken pointed out the Fire Department has to do inspections. The Code Enforcement Officer who is a fire fighter too, but typically it's the Fire Department.

<u>Table of uses — and title</u>? Tyson asked if it should change to Campgrounds as Accessory to Primary Residence? There was discussion about commercial campgrounds not being allowed at all and discussion about adding accessory uses in which ever zone. Brendan said under the Table of Uses this would change it to not being allowed as a principal use in any zone but as accessory in some zones.

Brendan suggested the Board take care of that issue more fully next Tuesday. Hillary repeated her view that Canterbury Shaker Village needs to be noticed not just invited.

Bob said he had another question after he had researched campgrounds in other jurisdictions, namely it has to be under single ownership . There could

be 2 adjoining landowners trying to join together to make a campground. Mandy asked if the property owner has to live on site in the residence? Accessory dwelling unit regulations say the owner has to be there, living on site. Hillary agreed as did Lucy, this being necessary for taking care and overall control of the site. Bob said this all assumed that the campground operator was present and also was the owner. Hillary said they had written so far that the owner had to be on the premises and making sure things were ok, otherwise it was a violation.

Future meeting agendas?

Tyson stated there were 2 campground applications (Bezanson and Laplante/Mackey) waiting to be heard and the Mr. Levitt Self Storage application. The public hearing about the campground ordinance will be January 12, 2021. The 2 applicants were scheduled that night and Tyson thought that was too much. He suggested that they keep the public hearing for January 12 and put the 2 applicants off to January 26. Hillary asked what are we supposed to do in a timely fashion? Tyson said once an application was in you have 30 days to decide if it is complete then you have 65 more days to hear the application. Brendan confirmed the first thing a Planning Board does is to say if an application is complete. He checked RSA 676:4.

It was agreed that the Board look at the Bezanson and Laplante/Mackey applications next week on December 22, to declare them complete and then later hear them fully. Bob said he agreed it was best not to do those things on the same night.

Tyson asked again about the campground title. He wanted a line item in the Table of Uses stating a campground was accessory to a primary residence. Mandy said change it to campgrounds not commercial campground. There was more discussion about special exceptions. ZBA would want to see specifics. Mandy said people do use the Table of Uses. Hillary suggested Mandy and Brendan should talk about uses and law.

3. Members recusing themselves

Lucy asked about recusing herself regarding the Self-Storage unit next week. She is a member of the Quaker Meeting who are abutters. Tyson said she did not have to recuse herself but it was up to her. Hillary said she did not have to recuse herself. Brendan said 673:14 was the standard regarding a direct personal or pecuniary interest or the possibility of being a

juror. So if Lucy was an active member of the church she would not be a juror, this was a stringent standard, so probably not. It was up too Lucy to make that decision. Hillary disagreed. Lucy responded she lived there in the commercial district and she wanted to adopt a standard that she could apply in the future. Bob said his municipal service involved training by Jim Bassett and Brendan's advice was good. It was up to her. The appearance of impropriety constitutes impropriety, as it were. But she does to have to be silent, she could sit apart, speak, participate — but not vote necessarily.

4. New business?

There was none.

Tyson said they had done a good job. Thanks to Brendan for working on the revision document. It was 9:20 pm. They had something to deal with next time. Kent moved to adjourn the meeting and all members agreed.

Respectfully submitted, Lois Scribner, secretary.