1	Planning Board Public Hearing for Master Plan draft chapters
2	And Planning Board Work Session – Draft Minutes
3	<u>Tuesday April 9, 2024, Town Hall</u>
4	Members Present
5 6	Greg Meeh (Chair), John Schneider (Vice Chair), Joshua Gordon, Rich Marcou, Hillary Nelson (alternate), Kent Ruesswick (BOS rep), Megan Portnoy
7	Members Absent
8	Logan Snyder, Ben Stonebraker (alternate)
9	Others Present
10 11 12 13 14	Mike Tariff, Executive Director and Vincent Pagano, Regional Planner, CNHRPC: Kelly Short and Ken Stern, Co-Chairs of Canterbury Conservation Commission: Donna Miller, Julie Dewdney, Beth Blair (selectman): Brendan O'Donnell and Jonas Sanborn: Alfred Nash and Cathy Viau (applicants) with Web Stout, surveyor and Ruth Hall, attorney.
15	Agenda
16	1. Call to Order and introduction to Master Plan draft chapter review
17 18 19 20 21	Greg Meeh called the meeting to order at 6.40 pm. He introduced the first part of the evening as the public review of 2 chapters of the Master Plan, the Natural Features and Transportation draft chapters. Greg introduced the Board members present this evening and the Conservation Commission Co- chairs Ken Stern (Chair) and Kelly Short (Vice Chair).
22	2. Natural Features – Draft Chapter review
23 24 25 26	Mike Tardiff gave a brief background into the work that was started back in 2020 pre-Covid. Generally, the Master Plan is updated every 6 to 10 years. The Land Use chapter tends to be the core chapter. And overall, the Master Plan is an advisory document and the basis for town and Board regulations.

27 Kelly Short presented the Natural Features chapter. She noted that they had

- worked on several drafts over the past 3 years. The first 9 pages summarized
- the different natural resources in town and why they are important. The meat
- 30 of the chapter is in section 8.10, where they list the Objectives and
- 31 Recommendations. These are all related to conservation and recognize the
- 32 things that are valued about Canterbury and how to go about protecting them
- in the future. It will help to have common goals in years to come and these
- 34 goals can be referred to for broad guidance.
- 35 Donna Miller asked a question about how the Objectives work for action
- 36 items. Kelly said they are not exhaustive lists of action. In the previous Master
- Plan version there used to be hyper specific details and lists of things to do.
- 38 Mike noted that they tried to keep the text readable and concise and many of
- these things could go into the Appendices. Kelly thanked the Regional
- 40 Planning Commission for all their help with mapping aspects of conservation
- in town showing the different types of conservation land in Canterbury. The
- 42 Commission pays attention to contiguous parcels. It is good for wildlife when
- 43 there are conserved areas next to each together. Greg asked for Appendices
- 44 to data that might have been cut out, understanding that for this evening
- 45 Mike had brought chapters just with text.
- 46 Greg also asked about the Co-occurrence maps that used to be on the town
- 47 website. Kelly explained how the Conservation Commission works, keeping an
- index of conservation lands in town, keeping a Natural Resources Inventory,
- 49 and working on the Co-occurrence maps that show the highest priority and
- 50 most valuable conservation areas in town measured by several criteria.
- 51 Currently these maps are not on the website because they are being updated
- and the Commission wants to talk to landowners who may be potentially
- 53 interested in conservation before that information is shared publicly. For now,
- 54 those maps are a work in progress.
- 55 Donna also asked what percent of town acreage is in conservation. Kelly
- 56 estimated that it was about 5,000 of the 28,000 acres in town so less than

- 57 25%. The NHSPF is recommending that towns try for 25% of their land under58 conservation by 2030.
- 59 Donna also raised the issue about including references to the problem with

60 invasive plants in town. Many are ruining native plants. Mike said there

- 61 was room to add text about that issue. It will involve some public
- 62 education too. Kelly said they do refer to supporting landowners to use best
- 63 management practices, which would include managing invasives, but for now
- 64 those specific 'to do' lists are not in the text but will be in the Appendices.
- 65 Donna also raised the issue of the town protecting pollinators with
- 66 appropriate mowing, around town cemeteries for instance. That would also
- need public education, about leaving fall flowers and other means of helping
- 68 pollinators. Kelly responded that the Commission does do this, for instance
- 69 with the Robert S Fife Conservation area which is divided into two and each
- ⁷⁰ half is mowed each year to allow wildlife to go through a whole life cycle.
- 71 Megan Portnoy if there were educational materials in town for people to learn
- 72 more about what to do if they were interested and had land that was high
- value for conservation. Kelly responded that as a volunteer board they tend to
- refer residents to conservation trusts. **Ken Stern added that there is a NH**
- 75 Land Trust Coalition, and their publication tells people how to conserve
- ⁷⁶ land. Alternatively, residents can reach out to the CCC directly and they
- 77 would help direct them in the right direction. Greg requested that kind of
- 78 detail be included in the Appendices.
- 79 Hillary asked if noise was discussed in the document. It was included on page
- 80 7 regarding the Loudon Speedway. Megan asked about the noise from
- 81 firearms being discharged in town. Rich responded that is problematic due to
- the Second Amendment. Light pollution is mentioned, Kelly said.
- 33 Julie Dewdney asked how the town, run by volunteer committees, actually
- 84 does these things. Greg said one way was that anyone who had a real
- easement had to have monitoring by agencies. They notice invasives. Some
- 86 properties are monitored annually and some on a rotating basis. Kelly

- reiterated that the CCC is a volunteer board and has alternate vacancies,
- 88 which could help address some of these tasks. **Mike noted that in the**
- 89 finished version of the Master Plan there will be an Implementation
- 90 section and that will include many of the action items from all the
- 91 previous chapters.
- 92 Beth Blair asked about referencing ways to improve the dark sky policy, how to
- 93 give guidance to people. Kelly said there used to be links on the website; Mike
- noted these are hard to keep up to date.
- 95 Megan asked again about noise, that townspeople really valued the quiet
- 96 nature of town, yet there is not much in the document about how to protect
- that. Should there be a town ordinance about noise, with requirements
- 98 specified. Kelly believed that the Natural Resources chapter will overlap with
- the Land Use chapter they are **citing quiet as a natural resource and how**
- 100 to protect it should be in the Land Use chapter.
- 101 Julie Dewdney praised the Conservation Commission for their work.
- 102 3. <u>Transportation Draft Chapter Review</u>
- Rich Marcou presented the Transportation draft chapter. He briefly described 103 104 the detailed sections about existing conditions and trends, road maintenance and funding improvement, planning ahead for residents and visitors, the 105 vision statement, state aid and so on. He noted that Canterbury is unique in 106 107 NH because it has more private roads than state-maintained roads. Generally, 108 the state maintained and town-maintained roads are good enough, as are most of the bridges. When Rich referred to data about traffic volume, which 109 had been impacted by Covid, Mike said that they had new data showing 110 rebound of traffic more recently and needed to update that section. There was 111 112 data about safety and crashes included. This led to a discussion about some roads in particular, notably Shaker Road, where there have been 2 fatalities. 113 Hillary Nelson stated these were both in a section where the road rose and 114 115 fell, and young drivers went into a tree driving at speed. Vincent Pagano said 116 they had more data they could include from different parts of Shaker Road.

Greg noted that there are some intersections in town that are always referred 117 to as unsafe and in need of attention. Also, there had been a move to provide a 118 119 safe walkway in the Center between the school and the Library, including a crosswalk, an idea which had never been implemented. John Schneider asked 120 121 why that had not been done. Kent Ruesswick thought it was to do with the 122 State controlling Baptist Road and they decided it was not a safe place at the bottom of the hill to put a crosswalk. And who would staff it? Mike suggested 123 putting something about this in the Master Plan so it could be followed up 124 **on.** There might be federal funding if there was data about the path the kids 125 126 took from school to the Center. Ken Stern recalled that there was a school 127 crossing guard years ago.

Megan asked about speed bumps on Kimball Pond Road and Baptist Road. In
NH these are not common because of the needs of the snowplows.

- Jonas Sanborn asked about roads maintained by the state. Historically this 130 has been difficult to get any change. Hillary said she had tried to get a sign 131 132 saying 'Caution Children' by their house and state refused. Mike said narrowing lanes can slow traffic but then it is problematic for bikes and 133 walkers. Jonas asked about people who are going over town lines, using the 134 Canterbury roads to get to adjacent towns. Mike said speed comes up a lot as 135 136 an issue and enforcement helps, especially if the speed devices were moved around town. There was discussion about the benefits of flashing lights and 137 speed reminders. The former police chief had not thought these would be 138 139 effective and there was not funding.
- 140 Rich finished the presentation mentioning other sections about stone walls,
- scenic roads, and the CAP bus program now in town. The Futures section
- 142 refers to some improvements that had been completed in recent years. There
- 143 were Objectives at the end of the chapter, aiming to preserve and maintain
- 144 existing conditions, address capital improvement projects, prioritize safety
- including for pedestrians and bicyclists, each with more specific 'to dos'.
- 146 Joshua Gordon asked a question regarding the requirements for
- 147 improvements made to Class VI roads. He suggested that some roads, like

148 Hancock Road in Canterbury, were made too wide and too 'improved' and

- 149 removed walls and trees that make Class VI roads special. Maybe there could
- be a standard in between Class V and Class VI. Greg noted that the standards
- 151 are set by the Select Board. There was discussion about how expensive road
- maintenance is in town, given the costs of labor and equipment.

154 Greg stated that the rest of the evening was for Planning Board business, it

155 would remain a public meeting, but members were going into a work session.

156 Hillary Nelson (alternate) was seated in lieu of Logan Snyder who was absent.

157 4. <u>Previous Minutes – March 26, 2024</u>

Kent moved the previous Minutes and John Schneider (?) seconded. These
had been circulated. All members present voted in favor of approving those
Minutes.

161 5. <u>Alternate policy</u>

162 Greg had sent members a document summarizing policy for alternates based

163 on the Planning Board Handbook for NH. Alternates cannot vote, make

164 motions or second a motion or be in deliberative discussions unless they have

been seated. The Board could have up to 5 alternates at any one time,

166 representing different sets of expertise.

Joshua Gordon moved to appoint Brendan O'Donnell and Jonas Sanborn as alternates. John Schneider seconded.

- 169 In discussion, Greg said Brendan has land use legal experience and has
- 170 served as an alternate before, and Jonas has worked with the community
- 171 organization, the Sherwood Forest Association. Both received a significant
- number of votes in the recent election.
- 173 Megan asked about Brendan as a land use attorney. Brendan responded he is
- now working at the NH DOJ. He occasionally runs into land use issues there.
- 175 Megan then asked about maintaining boundaries about giving advice when
- the Board has a town attorney. Brendan said he will never give advice. He

- 177 would be attending as a town citizen and there is no attorney client
- relationship. He would be the same as any other member.
- 179 It was agreed to have separate motions for each alternate.
- 180 Joshua Gordon moved to have Brendan O'Donnell as an alternate. Megan
- 181 **Portnoy seconded. All members present voted in favor.**
- 182 Joshua Gordon moved to have Jonas Sanborn as an alternate. Megan
- 183 **Portnoy seconded. All members present voted in favor. Greg noted that**
- 184 Brendan and Jonas need to go to the Town office to be sworn in. The Select
- 185 Board meets on April 15 and could sign the approval slips.
- 186 Megan asked if the town had a policy about Alternates. Rich noted there is a
- 187 section in the Bylaws and Procedures document from 2011 though it does
- need modifying to include all the aspects regarding the usefulness of
- 189 alternates that Greg described this evening.
- 190 Megan stated she had concerns about efficiency if the Board became that big.
- 191 Greg said he advises against appointing any new further alternates after this
- vote for Brendan and Jonas. Megan added she had concerns about there
- being a couple involved with one of the alternates and maybe the Board could
- 194 move towards randomized rotation of alternates. Greg pointed out he did not
- 195 seat Hillary most times if there was a choice but this evening there were no
- 196 other alternates attending to replace Logan. This could all be reviewed under
- 197 the rules and procedures. Joshua noted this could lead to the Secretary
- 198 having to keep a record of who was seated and when. It would also depend on
- 199 who came to each meeting and whether that was known in advance. Greg
- reiterated that the Alternates could provide relevant knowledge for different
- 201 meetings and issues. Megan asked about this again later in the meeting
- 202 and Greg requested that Alternate policy be put on the next work session
- 203 **agenda** (which would be April 23).
- 204 6. <u>New case law</u>

Joshua spoke to this. There is a new law for when a building is on a Class VI
Road. Greg said the new directive is specifically for private roads. Before a

- subdivision can be approved the Planning Board needs a ruling from the
- 208 Select Board that the subdivision has legal access. He gave copies of a legal
- 209 decision from Steve Buckley of NHMA, regarding the court case Harvey v.
- Town of Barrington. It noted that unless and until the property owner has
- 211 secured legal access from the Select Board, the Planning Board cannot grant
- 212 a subdivision.
- 213 This approval would work very much like a road waiver including consultation
- with the planning board. Greg has talked to Ken Folsom about it and the
- 215 Select Board are trying to figure out how to include it with road waivers and
- streamline the procedure. There are conditions for road waivers that are not
- the same as the criteria for legal access. Joshua said he was not sure those 2
- things could be combined. But as with road waivers, the legal access would
- also be done in consultation with the Planning Board, so the Board couldexpect to see some of those in the future.
- 7. <u>New Edition of A Hard Road to Travel</u>
- There was some discussion about private easements applying for the whole length of a road, only applicable for roads discontinued before 1949. See A Hard Road To Travel, 2022 Edition, pages 61-64.
- Greg encouraged members to sign up for the various upcoming seminars and noted that Rich, Greg and Hillary have registered for seminars to date.
- 227 Secretary to send that information to the 2 new alternates.
- 228 8. <u>Rules and Procedures</u>
- Greg stated that this document should be in the Handbook and on the Board
- website, as it was scanned from the original. It needs updating. (Note,
- secretary subsequently found it in Word in an old digital file so it can be easilyupdated).
- 233 Greg then referred to the Planning Board Handbook for NH. He learned that
- Boards are not supposed to conduct site walks until completeness is
- established for an application. It could be construed that a Board had
- approved completeness and then it would start the 65-day clock running. **This**

- requirement for site walks needs to be in the Board's updates (for
- subcommittee work). Also, he noted that for site walks, if the Board conducts

a walk it has to be with permission and it is publicly noticed, and minutes

240 taken. Individual members may walk independently, with permission, and that

- 241 does not need to be publicly noticed.
- There is a sign off for applicants to agree to this in the existing application

243 forms for site plans and subdivisions. This sign-off on the application grants

- 244 permission for the board or members to walk the site.
- 9. <u>Nash application update attorney letter</u>

Attorney Ruth Hall (of Alfano Law PLLC) introduced herself as the author of the letter on behalf of Alfred Nash.

- Greg and Hillary recused themselves as abutters in relation to the application.
- 249 John Schneider took over the Chair. John asked what the professional
- standard was following receipt of the letter.
- Joshua suggested that it should be set out for a hearing on April 23 when the
- application was scheduled. It did not need a response today but in time it did
- merit a response. Rich commented that he had not seen the letter before, it
- was also the first time it was seen by Kent. The Board took several minutes toread the letter.
- 256 Megan asked why it was not received earlier and when did it get to the town?

The letter was dated April 1. It was determined that it was sent to the town

- 258 office by US mail.
- Ruth Hall asked a procedural question what stage are we at?
- 260 Joshua said it was awaiting completeness. There have been 2 extensions. The
- secretary read from the Minutes of the September 26, 2023, hearing, where
- the Board voted to table the application pending receipt of several more
- 263 pieces of information.
- Joshua Gordon moved to take no action on this letter that evening. Rich
 Marcou seconded. All members voted in favor. It was stated that there would

- be continuation of consideration for completeness on April 23. Megan asked
 how the Board would do this. John said they would find out.
- The secretary asked Web Stout, as he left with the applicants, if he had
- anything else to add. He did not, the applicants did not agree with the
- 270 requirements. The next step would be a hearing for completeness and
- 271 abutter letters could be sent first class mail.
- 272 10. <u>Updates</u>
- 273 (i) <u>Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)</u>

274 Johsua asked why this is important. Greg noted it has to do with planning for items covered by the capital reserve costs, and the impact for the Planning 275 Board is that it justifies the land use planning law with the 3% building cap, 276 277 and it has to be based on and related to the Master Plan. So, the Master Plan 278 must be completed, before CIP work can really begin. Greg suggested that the 279 CNHRPC helps many towns with their CIPs and that it would cost about \$4000 for that work and \$1000 of that would come from a grant. This work needs to 280 281 be done. Joshua asked if the CIP would impact the growth cap. Joshua also asked what is the legal deadline. It is thought the innovative land use planning 282 has to be updated every 2 years. 283

284 Note: Chair has inquiry made to the CNHRPC on these two questions

There was further discussion about how the process would work. It would 285 require a CIP subcommittee, which typically includes 2 Planning Board 286 287 members, one BOS member and one member from the budget committee. The CIP committee, with assistance from CNHRPC will schedule meetings 288 with representatives from town departments and boards to gather the 289 necessary information. The CNHRPC is also working with the town for road 290 291 maintenance, having provided software to collect information that can be used for the data input for the CIP. Mike Tardiff had suggested to Greg that the 292 work would require about 3 meetings. Megan noted it is meant to be long term 293 294 financial planning. Financial numbers will need to be updated regularly but 295 the whole document does not need to be revamped every 2 years.

296 (ii) Land Use Professional – new position

The Select Board had put out the advert and there was one applicant so far that we are aware of. The BOS is keeping the application open until April 15. Then there will be initial interviews with staff/secretaries (Mandy Irving, Lois Scribner and Lisa Carlson) and then the Select Board and Chairs of Land Use Boards will interview the candidate.

302 (iii) <u>Regulations subcommittee</u>

The subcommittee first met last Tuesday. They have received a skeleton
version of a Land Use Handbook from Matt Taylor, and an exemplar of a
Conditional Use Permit application and another town's land use regs to look
at. The Secretary will continue to send subcommittee minutes for info to
board members.

308 11. <u>New Business</u>

It is fortunate that Mark Stevens, in the course of his surveying work some
years ago, located a town map at the registry of deeds. Greg shared the map
with the board. It is signed by the Planning Board Chair, Jim Bassett, licensed
surveyor S. Prescott and Town Clerk Cheryl Gordon, and was recorded at the
Merrimack County Registry of Deeds in Concord on July 11, 1991

- The map shows zoning districts and road classifications. There appears to be 314 very little difference between this map and the one currently posted in the 315 Assessor's office. The differences identified are: addition to commercial zone 316 South of West Road and West of Rt 93 and the recent addition to the Center 317 Historic District South of Morrill Rd. and East of Kimball Pond Rd. These 318 updates are shown on the maps CNHRPC has updated for the Master Plan. 319 320 The map does show roads and discontinued roads. 321 Mike Tardiff interprets that the signatures and the fact that it is registered
- means that it is the official map until a new map is authorized. Rich suggested
- it be certified by the current Town Clerk. Note: **Chair will discuss with BOS**
- 324 and believes a map updated with the current zoning districts should be

- 325 certified. An updated map was produced an available as part of the Master326 Plan work.
- Rich said he was concerned if something should happen to the copy of the
- map we have. Greg explained that there are digital copies of it at the CNHRPC
- office and at the Registry of Deeds and that he sent digital copies to town
- administration and assessor. Note: Chair circulated digital copies to board
- 331 members April 11.
- 332 12. <u>Adjournment</u>
- Rich motion a motion to adjourn. John and Megan seconded, all were in favor.It was close to 9 pm.

335 ACTION ITEMS

- CNHRPC put details of specific recommendations/to do lists and
 Conservation information and add issue of invasive plants into the
 Appendices of the Master Plan
- CNHRPC to add issue to issue of speeding/road safety the idea of
 cross walk for CES students in Center, data to be collected, into
 Master Plan
- New Alternates Brendan and Jonas to be sworn in with Town Clerk
- Secretary to send new Alternates recently shared training/workshop
 information and put issue of Alternate policy on April 23 agenda
- Site walk after completeness issue to be included for subcommittee
 work secretary to send that to Matt T
- Secretary to send out abutter letters re completeness hearing for
 Nash subdivision application by first class mail
- Chair checking with CNHRPC re CIP questions
- Chair to discuss official map from 1991 with Select Board
- 351 Respectfully submitted,
- 352 Lois Scribner, secretary
- 353