1 2	CNT-Minutes.11.14-DRAFT
3	Planning Board Public Meeting
4	November 14, 2023, Town Hall
5	Plan for Tomorrow (Master Plan)
6	"Parents" Session, 6 p.m.; General Session, 7 p.m.
7	Final Minutes
8 9 10 11	Members Present: Greg Meeh (Chair), John Schneider (Vice Chair), Kent Ruesswick (Select Board rep), Anne Dowling, Rich Marcou, Logan Snyder, Hillary Nelson (alternate), Ben Stonebraker (alternate), and Joshua Gordon (arrived at 8p.m).
12 13 14 15	<u>Staff members:</u> Administrative Secretary Lois Scribner; and Recording Secretary Ray Carbone
16 17 18	Central New Hampshire Regional Planning Commission (CNHRPC) staff: Executive Director Michael Tardiff and Project Planner Matt Taylor.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Town residents present: Brian Magoon, Rebecca Plue, John and Joanne Michno, Calvin Todd, Alice Todd, Clifton Mathieu, Alex Young, Edgar Rivera, Ryan Lane, Greg Paninsky, John Shepherd, Joel Beaulieu, Martha Gilman, Nate Bragdon, Danielle and Charlie Krautmann, Anne and Dave Emerson, Beth Blair, Jim and Donna Miller, Sumner Dole, Randi Johnson, Teresa Wyman, Al Edelstein and Lisa Carlson, Felipe Salas-Ogilvie, Mark and Doris Hampton, Sylvia Styles, Steph Sosinski, Tim Gurshwin and Olivia Henry, Jill McCullogh and Tim Meeh, Alison Witschonke, Joe Wielock, Julie Dewdney, Ginny Litalien, Michelle and Brandon Lewis, Kathy Lucas and Tess Crick, Heidi Chaney, Hans Baker and Helen Lloyd Davies, and one man who declined to be identified.
31 32	<u>Agenda</u>
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	1. <u>Call to Order</u> Chair Greg Meeh called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. He noted that the first hour of the meeting would primarily address concerns of parents, and the remainder of the time would look at ideas pertaining to updating the Town's Master Plan (Plan for Tomorrow), and the cluster development portion of the zoning ordinance

2. Housing/School District

Greg said that questions related to the school would only be addressed as they focused on issues relevant the Planning Board, e.g., demographics, etc.

CNHRPC Director Mike Tardiff explained how the Master Plan is developed and how it is used in the Town's planning process, updating portions of the zoning ordinance, e.g., the recent trend towards smaller households, and how those might impact future school enrollment.

Greg explained how the objectives in the Master Plan are created. He said the Board has been working on for almost five yeas, with a hiatus for the Covid 19 situation in 2020. It is developed using town surveys and other means of gathering information from residents about what they deemed to be important about their Town, e.g., for the most part, people like Canterbury the way it is, with its historic character and a significant amount of agricultural land. He noted that there are several farms in Canterbury and some of those farmlands aren't used, but there's a sense that the properties add to the Town's historic agricultural character.

Recent surveys and visioning sessions have also indicated that residents favor small, incremental changes, and that is how the Board is approaching its responsibilities to complete the Master Plan and evaluate possible changes to the zoning ordinance. All zoning alterations have to be approved by voters at the polls during the first part of the annual Town Meeting in March; the State recommends that towns update their Master Plan every 10 years, but Canterbury's current updating process is roughly two years behind that schedule due to the pandemic.

Greg said Canterbury was one of the first towns in the area to implement municipal zoning and that helped it avoid some of the problems that other communities faced during the housing boom of the 1980s. He added that the public meeting sessions that the Board has held in recent months have revealed that residents are concerned about the cost of housing as related to both young families and older residents who may want to downsize their residence but stay in Town.

Mike Tardiff said that the CNHRPC is working with the Board to suggest legal and administrative tools that are available to the Town for addressing those concerns by allowing different types of housing in sustainable ways. Again, the goal is small, incremental changes, which is how Canterbury has traditionally gone about this process.

3. Housing/School District

Clifton Mathieu said that even "small incremental changes" could have a major, long-term impact on the Town. He asked Tardiff why the CNHRPC is pushing for these kinds of changes, both here and in other communities. Mike Tardiff said

the CNHRPC isn't "pushing" anything, but only working to help the Board address its issues. Greg said that there's no "magic bullet" that will solve every problem for every resident. He said that property owners have rights and the Board has to find ways to balance those rights against the property rights of others. The Board is working to find a way to encourage smaller developments that maintain the character of Canterbury.

Calvin Todd noted that Concord has seen a burst of development activity recently and he asked that the Town consider how to react in ways that support Canterbury's rural character. "When do we become responsive," he asked the Board. With little public transportation and other challenges, Canterbury is "not an ideal community to have Affordable Housing," he added, suggesting that the Town consider altering its ordinance to allow cluster developments in the area around Interstate 93's exit 18.

Greg said that the Board and residents have been discussing the exit 18 area and whether that zone could be changed to a mixed use/cluster zone. The area never developed into the heavy Industrial use that was forecast years ago, largely because the hoped-for increase in railroad uses never developed. He explained that there is a formula the Town uses that includes minimum lot sizes and minimum frontage requirements for subdivisions. This addresses several issues, including density of housing, limiting the impact and cost to town services (public safety, road maintenance, students of school age), etc. Greg clarified that we cannot expect more development to decrease the tax rate. It would increase the tax base, but it also increases the need for services, so it is very unlikely to decrease individual property tax bills. He added that people frequently think of the areas around exits 17 and 18as very similar, but there's actually very little land left for development in the exit 17 area and a lot more development pressure. One change that could be made in Exit 17 area would allow for some apartments to be built over buildings.

Danielle Krautmann questioned predictions of a decrease in the number of students at Canterbury Elementary School (CES). She said that she helps with the community soccer program for the Canterbury Recreation Department and that, over the last three years, she's seen significant growth.

Rebecca Plue spoke as a relatively new resident and parent of young children. She wondered if the enrollment forecasts were skewed by the Covid 19 situation.

CNHRPC Project Planner Matt Taylor said that while Covid disrupted things, there are some elements of the Town's demographics that have remained predictable. Canterbury's population peaked in the early 1800s, before slowly declining and "bottoming out" in 1930 with 505 residents. There was a rapid increase in the mid-20th century, leading up to 2010 census. Current data shows that there's been – and is expected to be – a more modest annual population increase up to 2040.

Rebecca Plue pointed out that the data the CNHRPPC presented in a handout doesn't show info from the last year. She suggested that the SAU 80 would have up to date data. She also suggested that families had moved into town for the safety of their families during the Covid pandemic. Her house has increased in value during this time as have many properties in town.

In fact, the CES student population was back up to the 2016 level, necessitating the need for the hiring of a new teacher. Taylor agreed that the numbers don't include data from the New Hampshire Department of Education, and that their staff would utilize that data to update its projections. Still, he explained that the overall population of the Town is aging, from a medium age of 42.4 in 2000 to 47.8 in 2020, which means fewer CES students. In addition, the average number of people in New Hampshire households has been declining for some years. "There's a lot of big older homes but smaller families occupying them," he said. Rebecca said that her personal observation is that "There are a lot of young families," in town.

Randi Johnson pointed out that the 2020 U.S. Census figures might not be counting the number of people living in Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs). Greg said that ADUs have developed into a very successful housing option in Canterbury. It allows a Single-Family Dwelling Unit to be augmented with a smaller residence on the same property, e.g., an "in-law" apartment. ADUs can help defray the costs of staying in a larger home and provide a less expensive housing option for some people.

Hillary Nelson pointed out that, at this time property owners are supposed to apply for a special exception from the Zoning Board of Adjustment (ZBA) to add an ADU, but "lots of people haven't done that." Logan Snyder said that this could mean that the population figures may not be entirely accurate in terms of family count. One of the changes the Board is considering would remove the need for an ZBA special exception for an ADU.

Nate Bragdon said that, according to the information distributed at the meeting, the board is looking to find ways to make it easier for younger families and older residents to stay in the community. "But how about someone in the middle," he asked.

It was noted that the average price of a home in Canterbury has risen dramatically in recent years.

Calvin Todd said that the Board and CNHRPC are looking at the 2020 census figures, but that more recent information shows that Merrimack County is the third fastest growing in New Hampshire, and New Hampshire is the fasting growing state in New England. "Can we use those (fresher) numbers?" he asked.

Mike Tardiff said that those figures are likely from the American Community Survey and there is some question about how accurate they might be. But he said his office would look at integrating them into the data the Board uses, even though this is one small data point overall.

Greg told the audience that there will be "enormous development pressures" on the Board and, by extension, on the Town. He said that if the Town doesn't take action to update its zoning ordinance to deal with those pressures, the relevant issues could be decided by the NH Legislature or in NH courts. Any restrictions on the rights of property owners to develop/build on their land have "got to be justifiable," he explained. For instance, restrictions can be justified by the need for safe water and septic service, or the costs of public services like police, fire and school. "We can justify it with the Capital Improvement Program (CIP)," he noted. "We can say, 'We are expanding services by 2% so we need to keep growth in the need for services in line with what the town can provide.""

Greg Paninsky_asked why the Town would consider adding any more housing when there are still unresolved infrastructure problems. "I know people who don't have internet," he said. Greg said that the current draft of the Plan for Tomorrow is available on the Town's website except for its Infrastructure chapter, because that is still in progress.

Mike Tardiff said that the Board and CNHRPC are aware of the local internet problems and that there's recently been news of a breakthrough in the NH Legislature. An infrastructure bill there could provide funds that will allow some of the internet gaps to be filled in within the next 12-18 months. Kent Ruesswick, the Select Board's representative on the Planning Board, said that the work has already begun in Town. There are currently 225 people without Wi-Fi. "If you see those trucks around, that's what they're doing," he said.

4. Cluster Ordinance Discussion

<u>Rebecca Plue</u> asked about the future if the Town moves ahead with proposed changes to Cluster Neighborhood portion of the zoning ordinance. What will things look like in Canterbury if there's a housing crash in the future and a significant number of these residential units are unoccupied?

Greg clarified the issue of what's considered "Affordable Housing." He pointed out that the Town's economy is never going to make Canterbury a place that provides a significant amount of affordable housing, but zoning regulations could support the development of some. "If we approve a six-unit housing development, we could encourage the inclusion of one, smaller – maybe a one-bedroom – unit by allowing the developer" to add a unit, essentially making a seven-unit development. The example also indicates how the Town could go about the process of spreading out affordable housing options, he added. "We do not want affordable housing all clustered together," Greg explained. He recently learned about projects of this type in Gilmanton and Warner, and both appear to

be very nice and in character with their communities. "A mixed-use (project) is more vibrant," he added.

Logan said that she recently learned that one of the Town's newest police officers commutes daily from Massachusetts. People who serve Canterbury in these kinds of vital roles – public safety, teachers, etc. – shouldn't have to commute from Massachusetts, she said.

Michelle Lewis referred to earlier in the conversation when the issue of CES population was addressed. If the proposed zoning changes are able to attract more young families, there will a related costs. "Are we trying to attract those who are single and starting out and want to stay in Town or young families, or both? I'm unclear." She believed that certain people will move in depending on what is built.

Vice-Chair John Schneider said that Board isn't trying to "attract" any particular group of people. It's trying to accommodate people who may want to live here but, right now, cannot afford it. Rich Marcou said that the Board has heard from residents about two kinds of people who want to stay/live in Canterbury but cannot do so at this time: young families who do not have the income to afford to carry a mortgage on a home; and older people who may want to retire here but need to downsize. "We've heard it loud and clear and that's where we'd like to focus," he said. Rich also disputed the idea of reacting to what development may be happening in Concord at this time.

Hillary explained that the Board has another option that it may consider putting before voters in March. "Conditional Use Permitting" would mean that a project that comes before the Board would require a public hearing that allows any resident to hear about the project and comment on it. "So, we could say (to the developer), 'No. We've heard from the community that your proposal doesn't work," she explained. She added that the Board is here to listen.

Randi Johnson made the observation that people attending the previous public meetings who wanted to develop often do not live in town. Maybe they did once but then move away and kept property in case they wanted to develop.

David Emerson asked if there was any housing development, that it be done in the 'Canterbury Way.' He cited The Spruces and the Country Store as examples where residents got together and made an effort to bring something to fruition. He added that people are mixing up 'Section 8' with 'affordable housing'.

Alex Young questioned the use of the term 'affordable,' saying he was confused about its meaning. He was a self-employed carpenter, and nothing was affordable anymore. He asked what magic wand the Board had. Matt Taylor responded that there was an official definition of 'affordable' (30% of the local median income) and that was not what was being envisaged here. Greg added

that the Board was looking to make housing less expensive, for people like Alex. It was hard with the 5-acre minimum and 300-foot frontage requirement since this necessitates roads being built, and they are expensive.

Mike Tardiff suggested that the easiest tool that the Board had to use was the ADU – to make those allowable and not require the applicant to seek a zoning board hearing and make them flexible in relation to the primary dwelling unit.

Alison Witschonke noted that some of these are being used for Air B n B and this introduced some discussion about the growth of this accommodation in town. Lisa Carlson asked that people introduce themselves as there were several people present whom she did not know, and it was good for neighborliness. She went on to argue that there were property owners in town who were overstepping the allowance on short term rentals and Air B n B. What did that mean for Canterbury? Who would be living in these units? Would this in fact BE the development of the future? It is already going on in town and there is little enforcement.

Greg said that the enforcement of zoning regulations is not a function of the Planning Board, but rather of the Select Board. Enforcement had not been vigorous for some time, he said, but has been stepped up in recent years. However, that doesn't mean that there aren't still some residents who are not in compliance with the zoning ordinance. Mike Tardiff said that there are limitations on what restrictions a town can place on a property owner in terms of renting out their residence, but the whole issue of short-term rentals is something that "everyone is dealing with." Canterbury doesn't have the complicated Airbnb issues that towns in the Lakes Region have.

Nate Bragdon noted that it's reported that 93% of the houses in Canterbury are owner-occupied and said, "It's part of what makes Canterbury awesome." He said that owner-occupied buildings typically get better attention than ones where an owner is absent. He encouraged the Board to keep that in mind as it moves forward with its proposals. "How can we maintain owners that have stakes in the community," he asked rhetorically. He has 4 kids and hopes they will be able to stay in town.

Teresa Wyman made the observation that she lived here in the 1980s and the introduction of zoning caused some people to have to live in less expensive towns like Northfield.

Greg said he was not in favor of absentee landlords either. The Town's current ADU regulations require that an owner live on the property, either in the main residence or in the ADU.

Joshua Gordon said the issue could present a challenge for younger people who may want to rent, but not own, at this time in their lives.

There was a brief discussion regarding the definition of Affordable Housing.
Logan said that what's under discussion at this meeting is not "Affordable
Housing" but rather "Workforce Housing," which is roughly defined as being
reasonably affordable for middle-income households.

Calvin Todd asked the Board about the idea of using "flag lots." Greg said that Board has had some discussion of the issue, but it's heard some opposition to the idea as well as to the idea of reducing frontage requirement. There are no proposals to allow either. He explained that "flag lots" can connect buildable land that does not have the required road access by means of a long strip of road called a "flag lot," typically a "significant amount of road." That could allow "land-locked" lots to be developed. Calvin said he opposes allowing these kinds of developments because they open up a whole series of challenges. John said that there's already at least one such development in Canterbury.

Joanne Michno who lives in the Sherwood Forest development, said that she's concerned about allowing further cluster development that could create the kind of issues residents in her area have had, which necessitated spending thousands of dollars to fix a water problem. Joshua pointed out that when Sherwood Forest was developed, its Homeowners Association (HOA) agreement didn't have assessment authority, which created significant issues. The Cluster Neighborhood zoning change that the Board is considering would invest HOAs with assessment authority. Joanne said there could still be problems.

Doris Hampton asked about the changes to the cluster ordinance the Board is considering and whether it would be for ownership or renting.

There was a brief break for refreshments and a handout distributed about cluster housing.

Matt Taylor of CNHRPC said that what Canterbury's currently has is a cluster ordinance which outlines lot sizes, setbacks (from other properties and features like wetlands), and road frontage; it does not have a minimum total lot size. There is also a requirement that a road built in a development meet the Town's Class 5 road requirements, which is a disincentive. Greg added that the current ordinance is also difficult to understand. The prospective change to what would be called "Cluster Neighborhoods" will be easier to understand and, therefore, to comply with. It sets a minimum lot size of at least 10 acres; it allows increased residential density in order to protect larger "green spaces"; and it alters the Class 5 road requirement to remove the onerous need to meet the Town road standards in favor of standards agreed upon by the Town and a consulting engineer. Greg said that the latter change would allow roads in Cluster Neighborhoods to be more in character with other Canterbury roads.

Greg added that he met last week with the Conservation Commission and discussed the proposed changes and received the support of its members. "It protects at least 50% green space, with public access," he explained.

Matt Taylor said that the Board has also discussed the idea of adding incentives for developers who design their projects to fit in with the community. "So, if they're talking about doing things like (having) a traditional look or adding a stone wall." In those cases, Greg said that the Board could consider raising the allowable number of buildable lots on a development property from, for example, 8 lots, to 9 or 10.

Another resident who declined to give his name_said that he didn't move to Canterbury because he found it affordable. He said not everyone can afford to live here and if they moved away, they should earn the right to live here, and wait until they've acquired those resources. With regard to developers, he said "If you allow someone to put their toe in the door, you'll have to allow another, and another, and another." Greg pointed out that the Town already has cluster projects that were built in the 1950s, the 1960s, and the 1990s.

Hillary Nelson pointed out that any resident who was opposed to proposals had the right to come to Town Meeting and vote no. The reason the Board was attempting to be proactive was to discourage big money developers coming in and changing the nature of the town.

Helen Lloyd Davies_who formerly lived in Auburn, said that the community had major problems when it allowed cluster development. There were water issues, road maintenance issues, and the local property taxes went "sky-high" as it attracted "under-capitalized developers trying to make a buck and screwing everyone over." She thought the term "village" was a euphemism for small lots and unhappy people. Logan said that the Board is working hard on the proposed zoning changes exactly to avoid those kinds of issues here.

Teresa Wyman, spoke out about having been a nurse and having worked hard to live in Canterbury. She questioned the gentleman who argued that only those who could afford to be here should be allowed to, asking why the town would not try to make it more affordable for people like her to live here. The discussion ceased to address the Chair, so Greg redirected the meeting to move on.

Jim Miller and Alex Young cautioned the Board about making changes that would eventually result in the building of "McMansions" when there's a change in the housing market. Alex, who said he was a carpenter, said that developers want to build larger homes because they make more money on those structures. "For me, that's not the character of Canterbury," he said. Jim pointed out that many people who used to be able to afford to move to Canterbury can no longer do so.

Sumner Dole suggest that the Board consider requiring common lands that are created in Cluster Neighborhoods (and managed by HOAs) be donated to the Town. Greg said that in his discussion with the Conservation Commission, he learned that the commission doesn't want to be managing "random bits of property all over Town." In addition, that change would remove those lands from the Town's tax roll.

Joanne Michno_said she was having a difficult time visualizing what a Cluster Neighborhood would look like. Homeowners would have to bear the cost of building septic, wells and roads, all expensive. She said she's heard from neighbors, saying that if they want to build something and the zoning ordinance forbids it, they would simply go to the state courts to "get what they want."

Danielle Krautmann also suggested that allowing Cluster Neighborhoods might not be the solution that the Board is hoping it will be. She works for visiting nurses and sees 1-2 bedroomed units in Concord and even those are around \$2200 per month. She said that even homes on Hathlon Road are estimated to be worth \$500,000. She questioned if building smaller units would actually have the desired result.

 Logan said the change would likely not have achieve everything that people want regarding affordable housing since it's a national problem, but it could make some improvement.

Matt Taylor pointed out that on the last page of the handout there are several examples of successful Cluster Neighborhood projects built in Warner and Contoocook using 'Farmhouse designs'. In addition, the Canterbury Hall structure in Town boasts three rental units and some single-room rentals, so that's another example.

Greg said that Canterbury Hall is a good example of how the changes that the Board is considering could save some of the Town's older buildings that are part of Canterbury's historic character.

Rebecca Plue thanked the Board for including an earlier parent session. She asked about voting on amendments. It was explained that would be during session 1 of Town Meeting, voting on the ballot held on the Tuesday before Town Meeting session 2 on the Friday in March.

There was some further discussion about some of the outdoor benefits of Cluster Development for recreation. Greg Paninsky mentioned that his father was in a homeowners association in Florida that was taken over by chain management. How might the town deal with that issue?

Kent Ruesswick noted that the town gets to vote on roads at Town Meeting.

150	Donna Miller praised Canterbury Hall for providing a mix of housing with
ł51	management and maintenance. Hillary pointed out that a Variance was needed
152	from the Zoning Board because multi-family housing was not currently allowed in
1 53	the Agricultural zone.
154	
1 55	Greg thanked residents for attending. Some thanked the Board for the meeting.
1 56	
1 57	5. <u>Adjournment</u>
1 58	At 8:40 p.m., without objection, Greg adjourned the meeting.
159	
160	Respectfully submitted, Ray Carbone, Recording Secretary, with edits from Lois
1 61	Scribner, Secretary and Greg Meeh, Chair.