1	
2	MINUTES OF THE MEETING
3	OF THE
4	CANTERBURY PLANNING BOARD
5 6	November 26, 2019
7	
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Jim Snyder, (Chairman), Tyson Miller, (Vice-
9	Chairman), Joshua Gordon, Hillary Nelson, Kent Ruesswick, Lucy Nichols, (Alternate),
10	Scott Doherty (Alternate), Brendan O'Donnell (Alternate), Cheryl Gordon (BOS
11	Representative)
12 13	ABSENT: Art Rose
13 14	ABSENT. AIT NOSE
15	Review of 11/12/19 Minutes: Kent Ruesswick moved the Minutes, second by Hillary
16	Nelson. Discussion: None. Vote to approve Minutes: Unanimous – 11/12/19 Minutes
17	approved.
18	
19	PUBLIC HEARINGS:
20	
21	Proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendment for Growth Ordinance: Cheryl Gordon
22	gave the history of the Ordinance. This began in the 1980's when things were booming
23	and people were concerned about the number of houses being built, which would
24	impact police, fire, and school. When Cheryl moved here in the 70's there were only
25	800+ residences, then in the 80's it exploded and land was selling for small money for
26	large tracks of land. The Planning Board felt it needed to place a limit on the number of
27	houses being built each year so the Town services could keep up. Jim Snyder said we
28	amended things some years ago to keep it at a 4% growth rate and added a sunset
29	provision every 5 years. Tonight we are simply refreshing the sunset date for another 5
30	years. Brendan O'Donnell questioned when the last study was done looking at the
31	Town's needs and whether continuing to limit growth was necessary. The Board
32	discussed the possibility of doing a growth study. Tyson indicated the Regional Planning
33	Commission could do that for the Town.
34	Liller Malaan mana dideat was addithis to the ballot fan Tawn Maatian. Dis was ing Mana
35	Hillary Nelson moved that we add this to the ballot for Town Meeting. Discussion. None.
36	Vote Unanimous.
37	Provide a difference Among descent for Observices Hand Hillow combined that
38	Proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendment for Obnoxious Use: Hillary explained that
39	we looked at the existing obnoxious use, Article 2.1 of the Zoning Ordinance, and
40	wanted to make it a little clearer so everyone is aware of a process. Brendan agreed the
41	current language doesn't include definitions or have the process spelled out. It also
42	doesn't explain what kind of evidence the Board of Selectmen should consider for
43	violations.

44

Scott Doherty asked about noise issues. Hillary explained we don't have a noise 45 ordinance, which is a separate ordinance, but will look to create one in the next year. 46 The noise ordinance would be separate and apart from the Zoning Ordinance. The 47 48 obnoxious use ordinance issues go to the Select Board, noise ordinance issues go to 49 the police. Tyson said the few comments left on Facebook about this ordinance were favorable. The Board discussed the RSA's referenced in the language. 50 51 52 Tyson moved that we add this to the ballot for Town Meeting. Second by Brendan. Discussion. None. Vote: Unanimous. 53 54 END OF PUBLIC HEARINGS. 55 56 57 **Board Discussion:** Table of Use Status: The Board discussed the work that has been completed on the Table of Use (TOU) project to date and whether it made sense 58 to bring a large amount of information to the residents, or to narrow it down to just those 59 dealing with residences. The Board discussed pros and cons of revisions to the TOU 60 now versus waiting until we rewrite the Master Plan and how we would go about 61 rewriting it. 62 63 Hillary felt strongly that we need to update the Master Plan before finishing work on the 64 65 TOU. Tyson could have a representative from CNHRCP come here and do a presentation on the topic. 66 67 Kent asked that we suspend work on the TOU and spend time developing the Master 68 69 Plan. Hillary said we started this TOU project in 2016 and haven't made the progress 70 we've wanted. She is in favor of suspending it to work on the Master Plan. 71 72 The Board agreed that Tyson would coordinate a representative from CNHRCP to come to a future meeting and to suspend work on the Table of Use project for now. 73 74 75 Other Business. 76 77 -Joshua Gordon: Following up on our prior conversation with Ginny Dow, Joshua spoke with the Dam Bureau who indicated there is a problem with the dam on 78 New Pond, which is significant. The Dam Bureau is not happy with New Pond. 79 80 They also own the damn on Lyford pond, but they're not too concerned about that one. They want Shaker Village to hire an engineer to put points to measure 81 the movement over time to determine whether it's bulging. This is an ongoing 82 discussion that Joshua wanted this Board to be aware of. Jim thanked Joshua for 83 84 the report. 85

- Motion to adjourn by Hillary Nelson, second by Kent Ruesswick. Vote: Unanimous.
- Submitted by Lori Gabriella, Secretary Canterbury Planning Board