1	
2	MINUTES OF THE MEETING
3	
4	CANTERBURY PLANNING BOARD
5	October 22, 2019
6 7	October 23, 2018
8 9	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Jim Snyder, Chairman, Art Rose, Tyson Miller, Kent Ruesswick, Hillary Nelson
10 11 12 13	ABSENT: Joshua Gordon, Vice Chairman; Lucy Nichols, alternate, Cheryl Gordon (BOS Representative).
14 15 16	OTHERS PRESENT: Web Stout, Greg Giles, Odilia Giles, Aurora Weger, Nathaniel Shober, Jay Berry, Ann Berry, Abby Ladd, Ken Stern
17 18 19	<b>Draft Minutes of September 25, 2018</b> : Kent Ruesswick made a motion to approve the Minutes. Second by Hillary Nelson. Discussion: None. Vote: Unanimous, 9/25/18 Minutes were approved.
20 21	Public Hearing Fifield and Berry Lot Line Adjustment, 35 Welch Road, Canterbury,
22	NH, Map/lots 253-3-1 and 253-2:
23	
24	Jim made a motion that this lot line adjustment has no regional impact. Second by
25 26	Tyson. Discussion: None. Vote: Unanimous. No regional impact.
20 27	Web Stout presented for the applicants. The property is located on Welch Road at the
28	end of the good travel portion of the road, near the ice pond. There are two lots. One lot
29	is 58.2 acres, the other is 2.25 acres. They are proposing to eliminate the smaller
30	portion of the line, the 2.25 acres, and annex the area to lot 2, giving that new lot 16.25
31	acres. The remaining lot will be 44.2 acres. Web spoke with Mandy Irving, Town
32	Assessor, as far as the numbering system and how to label the lots. Web referred the
33	Board to note 1 of the Plan. They are eliminating lot 3.1 and annexing it to lot 2. The
34	new lot would then become 2-1. Lot 2 was a separate piece of property and was a
35	buildable lot in 1976 or 78. Tyson asked if it could be an entrance. Web said it's pretty
36	steep and has wetlands. Web reminded the Board he had come before the it previously
37	inquiring about this lot. There was brief discussion about that meeting. No buildings will
38	be on lot 2-1 after lot line adjustment other than a wood framed shed and a camp down
39	near the ice house. It is believed that Welch Road is all Class VI road so the
40	homeowners do all the plowing and maintenance.
41	
42	Jim asked Web about lot 2-1 to confirm it would be like a new buildable lot. Web said
43	yes. They moved the line over beyond where the existing structure is. Jim's only thought
44	is that the Board may need to see a road waiver since a new lot is being created on a

is that the Board may need to see a road waiver since a new lot is being created on aClass VI road. There was lengthy discussion about at what point a road waiver is

required. Jim thought a note on the plan stating that a building permit would require a 46 47 Class VI waiver and possibly upgrade of the road. Web pointed out that they're not creating an actual new lot. They started with two and they're ending with two. 48 49 However, a Class VI road waiver would circulate around to all Town Boards for their input, and the road agent visits the road and has input as to slopes, road width, etc. Jim 50 agreed and said the final decision is up to the Selectmen after input from road agent, 51 fire, police and Town Boards. 52 53 54 There was discussion about language being placed on the Plan relative to road waivers. 55 There were differing opinions. 56 Jim informed the Board there were two requests for waivers: 57 58 59 -To waive 20 foot intervals for topography because the application is for a lot line adjustment only, with an existing structure; and 60 61 62 -To waive existing soils delineation because the application is for a lot line only with lot sizes ranging from 16.2 acres to 44.2 acres with existing structures. 63 64 65 Art made motion to grant waivers. Second by Kent. No discussion. Vote: Unanimous. 66 Jim asked if abutters or applicants had anything to say. 67 68 69 Anne Berry: In talking with Mandy Irving, the Town Assessor, the family home on Welch Road going east is a discontinued Class VI road and felt it was in the regulations 70 71 that a building could happen. Anne wanted to know if it made difference that it's discontinued. Hillary said the family owns all the property so in essence it's a private 72 road. Ken Stern looked at the zoning map which showed it's a Class VI road for some 73 distance and then the dashed road stops. Web said Welch Road would be private for a 74 portion and that should be noted in the Town vote from years ago. If it's not and it's 75 silent on its status, it's a Class VI road. 76 77 78 Aurora Weger said Ken Folsom emailed her stating it was a discontinued Class VI road. Tyson said that makes things different. Greg Giles asked where on the map his 79 property was. He thought they owned part of that road. 80 81 82 There was further discussion and varying opinions as to whether Welch Road is a private road or Class VI road and what any building requirements would be as far as the 83 84 road. Web and Jim also looked at the digital tax maps. 85 It was generally agreed that a note needed to be added to the Plan relative to the road. 86 87 88 Jim made a motion to approve the lot line adjustment with the condition that a note be placed on the plan that the frontage relied upon for lot 2-1 consists of partly Class VI 89 90 road and partly private road, the boundary of which is undetermined. Anyone wishing to

- apply for a building permit on lot 2-1 would need to be granted a Class VI or private
  road waiver by the Selectmen. Kent seconded.
- 93
- Jim said if the applicant wished that to be less ambiguous the surveyor would have to research this more, however, the result doesn't change, they'd still need a road waiver.
- 96
- No further discussion. Vote: Unanimous. The lot line application was approved withthe above condition.
- 99
- Donna Nobrega asked a question: She stated she has no objection at all. She lives at
  96 Morrill Road and just wanted to understand the line movement. Web explained it for
  her and she was satisfied.
- 103
- 104 End of public hearing.
- 105
- Discussion for 2019 budget: The only items really needing discussion are 106 professional services and secretary wages. The Board discussed a legal budget apart 107 from professional services. Art said the Budget Committee discussed this and the Town 108 has a legal services budget. This Board has a professional services budget that can be 109 110 used for consultants or other type of professional services. Art pointed out we always need to get services cleared by the Selectmen prior to hiring anyone. Jim disagreed 111 and felt that the money is budgeted to us and we shouldn't have to request it. Art said 112 our budget is part of the overall budget funded by the tax payers. If we spend it, we 113 have to have an accounting of it. Art thought we still have another leg to work through 114 with the Central New Hampshire Planning commission relative to the Table of Use 115 revisions. 116 117 Hillary mentioned we need to think ahead toward the 10 year review of the Master Plan 118 in 2020. Jim said we're required to look at it and review it every 10 years, but we don't 119 have to tear it apart and rewrite it. Art felt the simple approach is you look at the end of 120 each chapter and see whether we achieved the goals. If we haven't, you talk about it. 121 There's no reason to change the vernacular in the plan. 122 123 124 Last year Art fought for higher secretary wages but the Selectmen bumped him. Lori asked if she could be converted to a 1099 employee rather than a Town employee. Art 125 mentioned this previously and now wants to raise the secretary budget from \$4,000 to 126 \$5,000. 127 128 129 Tyson said in talking to bigger towns, with bigger budgets, Belmont for example, they go to one person in the office and that person coordinates which board they need to see, 130 and walks them through that process. Tyson suggested we may need a planner in this 131 132 town. Art disagreed, if you have a competent secretary you don't need that. Our secretary is. She does a little more than normally expected and deserves a couple 133 more dollars. Jim and Hillary agreed. Lori does things most regular secretary's don't do. 134 135

Discussion of DOT 10 year improvement plan project suggestions: The Board 136 137 received a letter received from the DOT to review their questionnaire about projects the Town would like to see addressed. There was discussion about Morrill Road and 138 139 Kimball Pond Road. Kent said Jeff Brillhart's lot is available for \$60k for a one acre piece. He felt the Town should buy that lot to adjust that intersection to make it safer. Art 140 tried to set up a slope easement years ago but that didn't happen. Kent suggested a 141 warrant article. Jim said that isn't really this Board's job. Kent is going to push this 142 project forward and said this is the most dangerous corner in town. Jim suggested we 143 ruminate on this and add it to the 11/13/18 agenda. 144 145 Tyson said if we greased the wheels for the Hoit Road and Whitney Road intersection, 146 they'd be more inclined to do it. Art disagreed. The Rausseo's were assessed funds for 147 that intersection by Concord and hopefully they're still holding those funds for the 148 project. 149 150 Discussion of business group (Joshua): In light of Joshua's absence, this 151 discussion was postponed to another meeting. 152 153 154 Other Business: 155 Jim was stewing about a couple things from last night's meeting with the Zoning 156 -Board. He read portions of the Site Plan regulations. It's our right and 157 responsibility to reject site plans for good cause. Spot zoning is the idea of taking 158 a specific lot and rezoning it to allow a particular activity. It's a dog whistle. 159 Hillary felt the race track zone is spot zoning. Tyson mentioned a little 160 development that wanted a bodega, which is not allowed in a residential zone. 161 Wouldn't that be spot zoning? Jim said yes. 162 163 Kent spoke about last night saying he felt we were facing a group of people who 164 didn't want to listen but wanted to protect their purpose. He wants to see if we 165 can move forward with an SE/CU process. Jim thought we should just get the 166 Table of Uses passed and pick out a very small number of things that really 167 make sense to do as CU and add that in there. In the end, the procedure 168 between SE combined with Site Plan review isn't that different from what you'd 169 achieve under CU. The problem is people go to ZBA and think they're done. 170 We've been doing this for so long we don't realize people are unaware of what 171

- 172 173
- 174

Art pointed out that this Board, in reviewing a site plan or subdivision, has the authority to place pretty much any condition throughout the approval process. The trick is that once the ZBA gives approval, it needs to get kicked to the Planning Board. Tyson reiterated the need for conditions subsequent and conditions precedent.

180

181 Hillary discussed night sky compliance and the Table of Use definitions.

more education for residents.

the town regulations are. It's not their fault. Jim said we probably could do a lot

182

 Tyson talked about DOT going out to the Excavation project for Hiltz and finding a number of violations. He wondered whether we needed to do anything. The Board felt we don't. In the future, for an excavation project to happen correctly, the applicant comes to us and shows us their plans, maybe we send it to our engineering firm and conservation commission for review, and then issue a permit before they start digging.

- 189
- 190 Art made a motion to adjourn. Seconded by Kent.
- 191
- 192 Lori Gabriella, Secretary