## 2 <u>Minutes of the Planning Board Hearing</u>

## 3 <u>December 14, 2021, at the Town Hall</u>

- 4 Members Present
- 5 Kent Ruesswick (Chair), Anne Dowling, Greg Meeh, Scott Doherty (Vice Chair),
- 6 Joshua Gordon, Cheryl Gordon (BOS rep), Lucy Nichols
- 7 Others Present
- 8 Calvin Todd, Lisa Carlson, Web Stout, Joe Halla (Chair of the ZBA), Ginger LaPlante,
- 9 LeeAnn Mackey, Barbie Tilton, Elle Bezanson, Tyson Miller, John Schneider, Kevin
- Bragg, Bob Steenson (speaking throughout as a private citizen rather than
- representing the Board of Selectmen)
- 12 AGENDA
- 1. <u>Call meeting to order</u>
- 14 Chair Kent Ruesswick called the meeting to order at 7 pm.
- 2. Minutes of November 23, 2021
- Scott Doherty moved the Minutes of November 23. Greg Meeh seconded.
- Greg mentioned that Lucy Nichols had made a pertinent comment that was not
- noted, about pressures on camping at the Racetrack, this being relevant to the
- campground issues in town. Lucy was not present at that moment, so it was
- 20 agreed to note this in these minutes.
- 21 All members present voted in favor of approving the November 23 Minutes, with
- the exception that Joshua Gordon abstained because he had been absent that
- evening.
- 3. <u>Campground Ordinance Revision public hearing</u>
- 25 Kent Ruesswick asked if everyone present had seen the draft revision that had
- been circulated. He noted two errors that were typos. The numbers '100" in the
- 27 third paragraph of Operational Requirements needed deleting and in the first
- paragraph, line 5, the word 'are' was superfluous. He introduced the amendment

- saying the Board was trying to give campsites the opportunity to be open all year
- round. He invited Tyson Miller, former Chair, and author of the revision, to speak
- 31 to it.
- Tyson Miller said the Board had made seasonal restrictions in the original
- Ordinance to prevent residency on campgrounds. It was now appreciated that
- there are more ways to gain residency in town, and the reference to the state
- definition of campgrounds made it clear in this revision that campsites were for
- recreational use only and specifically not for year-round residency. Therefore, it
- was not necessary to limit the operation of the campgrounds to the seasonal
- dates (November 15-March 23) that were currently in the Ordinance. This issue
- was the first question, and the second referred to Special Exceptions. It was
- agreed to discuss them one at a time.
- 41 (i) Question 1, Tyson said, is the technical language. He had scratched out 42 the changed sentences and bolded the new language.
- 43 Greg Meeh raised the question of the size of cabins since recreational cabins were
- referred to in Operational Requirements. He asked if the definition of a
- recreational cabin would be calculated by size of cabins and distances in between.
- There was discussion about the benefits of keeping a 'live document' that is tied
- 47 to the state statute or adding a more stringent town definition of cabin sizes. The
- statute refers to 400 square feet. The town could be more restrictive in future
- unless there is a statute to prevent that specifically. Greg Meeh referred to the
- 50 emphasis upon 'primitive camping' when the original ordinance was being
- created and the development of cabins could require other limitations. Bob
- 52 Steenson argued that the Ordinance was already very tight and had the necessary
- restrictions built into it.
- Lisa Carlson shared her concerns. The Campground Ordinance itself was new, the
- business was new in Canterbury and the town voted for it in good faith believing
- that it would be primarily rural in nature. The whole flavor of the campsites would
- change if buildings were to be added to campgrounds. Seasonal camping was a
- 58 good idea. Winter camping brings in different concerns to the community about
- safety and emergency situations. What would happen if there was a health
- 60 emergency, and the emergency vehicles would not get in to help? Why change
- this ordinance so soon?

- Anne Dowling pointed out there is a statement in the Operational Requirements
- to plow snow to allow access.
- 64 Calvin Todd asked who would monitor the safety of the campgrounds in the
- winter police, road agent, fire?
- LeeAnn Mackey spoke on behalf of the three existing campground owners
- present. She said that they as landowners were responsible for safety because the
- sites were on their private property. They are all thinking of safety. Barbie Tilton
- and Elle Bezanson were looking to open in the winter and had spent money on
- gravel and so on. Barbie Tilton noted that Building Inspector comes out annually
- to check their sites and they plow their driveways. Elle Bezanson said she had only
- a few visitors in the winter, and they were mostly military people who could not
- get into state parks in that season. She was not going to plow anywhere
- dangerous. Only 3% of her entire business had been after the general summer
- season. LeeAnn said she has had 1% of their business outside the season. They
- stated they had 4-wheel drive trucks so they could access their own roads and
- trails in winter. It was also noted that snowmobilers come onto the trails at Elle
- 78 Bezanson's and no one requires emergency access assessment for that. They all
- had to deal with weather issues in the spring and summer too wind and rain or
- mud issues for instance.
- Tyson said it was a nice service these campsites were offering for folks who
- wanted to meditate or snowshoe or some such activity. There was no good
- reason to interfere with a landowner's rights to make income on their property by
- providing this service. There was more risk from campfires in the summer than in
- the winter. He supported this change.
- John Schneider spoke. He had concerns about compliance not everyone plays by
- 87 the rules.
- 88 Bob Steenson spoke in favor of this change. The town had no reasons to prevent
- these small businesses doing this. There was no risk of residency, the operating
- 90 risks were normal. There was no benefit in restricting campground use but benefit
- to allowing it for the town. He repeated that the Ordinance was already
- restrictive, limiting campsites by size, zone and access requirements for instance,
- and requiring annual inspections by the Building Inspector.

- LeeAnn said she was in favor of the change.
- Joe read letter from Jim Wieck, another ZBA member. He recommended that the
- change was not accepted. His letter covered both question 1 and question 2 and
- opened contentious discussion of question 2 before question 1 was resolved. The
- Chair had asked for all points of view to be aired first before any votes were
- 99 taken.
- Some questions and arguments were repeated.
- 101 Web Stout asked if anyone on the Planning Board had talked to Fire Chief? Scott
- Doherty replied as the Deputy Fire Chief. These scenarios had been discussed at
- length. Their department has a 4-wheel drive mobile vehicle and a utility vehicle
- that is 4-wheel drive, so they are prepared. In the past there have been incidents
- in town where they have had to go off road to rescue people and they have
- become pretty good at that. So far, no incidents have been reported from any of
- the campsites.
- 108 Kent addressed Lisa Carlson's question regarding timing. The issue of residency
- had been the primary reason for seasonality and since that was no longer
- necessary, they did not need to keep the campground owners waiting another
- 111 year to make this change. The owners themselves were saying they will take
- responsibility for safety issues.
- 113 Kevin Bragg spoke in favor of the change if the residency issue did not exist. He
- agreed that responsible business owners plowed their parking lots and access.
- And in terms of enforcement, he also thought that extreme weather events could
- strike in spring or summer as well as winter.
- Members of the Board briefly discussed the wider issue of enforcement in town
- where there were not fulltime staff to undertake the work. For now, the annual
- inspection by the Building Inspector serves as a license for the campgrounds and
- the Fire Department have to check the fire pits.
- 121 Chair Kent Ruesswick moved that the Ordinance be modified to allow winter
- camping, using the document they had before them, typos taken care of. All
- members present voted aye, with the exception of Cheryl Gordon (BOS rep) who
- 124 abstained.

- (ii) Question 2 this addressed the proposal to remove requirement for a ZBA special exemption for campgrounds.
- There was further contentious discussion about who was running the meeting.
- 128 Chair Kent Ruesswick had welcomed help from previous Chair Tyson Miller in
- drafting these changes. Kent said he did not feel strongly about this issue and had
- not realized it would be there, but it was in the document, so it was up for
- 131 discussion.
- Joe Halla, Chair of the ZBA asked were they trying to make campgrounds an
- allowed use? You had Allowed, Not Allowed and Special Exception in the Table of
- 134 Uses. In that case, had the Planning Board reviewed other recreational activities
- to see if they are also allowed uses? This is spot zoning if not.
- Bob Steenson stated that he had raised this issue. The goal was to reduce
- demands on the ZBA. If a campground met the criteria, was in the two allowed
- zones, (Ag and Rural), there seemed no need to come to ZBA. On the other hand,
- if someone wanted to open a campsite on land in a different zone, they would still
- have to come to ZBA. So, it was more like a conditional use. He and Tyson had
- bought it to the Planning Board for consideration.
- 142 Kent Ruesswick said he had hoped that the Zoning Board could join with the
- 143 Planning Board to discuss these issues.
- Joe Halla still thought it was spot zoning without reviewing any others that might
- need to be done in the future. There were many other home occupations and
- home businesses that face restrictions and the ZBA must allow them if they meet
- 147 the criteria.
- Joshua Gordon was inclined to agree with Joe Halla that they needed to review
- the ordinance in its entirety. It was not necessarily a good thing to take away ZBA
- oversight, but in this instance the campground was a much more restrictive
- ordinance than the one that applied, for instance, to the Disk Golf recreational
- site in town. Maybe in the future there will be more restrictive recreational
- ordinances for other businesses. But the specificity of the ordinance for
- campgrounds plus needing to come to both boards was burdensome on
- landowners. This was piecemeal but it was too much to address all of them in one
- go. It was in effect a conditional use permit.

- LeeAnn Mackey spoke against this proposal. It was premature. The two boards
- should discuss these issues first. When they had come to both boards for their
- campground hearings it had felt redundant but in retrospect, they were glad
- there were the checks and balances for everyone. She was opposed to this
- 161 Question 2.
- 162 Tyson Miller commented that in the current ordinance the Planning Board could
- add further restrictions to protect the rural quality of the town and prevent
- disruptions to abutters and so on.
- Bob Steenson thought that Joe Halla had made a good point. There had been an
- attempt to update the Table of Uses a few years ago. This was a logical place to
- start to get some efficiency but if they thought it was too early then he suggested
- also bringing in the Board of Selectmen because they do the enforcement and at
- times that is challenging. A comprehensive effort to update the zoning would be
- helpful. He worked on this nationally and would be glad to share materials. Kent
- agreed that it would be good to get all 3 boards together.
- Lucy Nichols apologized for being late because of a guest at her B and B. She
- thought allowing more time for community learning was a good idea. She was
- also in favor of neighbors having opportunities to come to both ZBA and the
- 175 Planning Board.
- 176 The Chair asked for a motion. Scott made a motion NOT to continue with
- 177 Question 2. It should be talked through with both boards together. Tyson said
- some towns have joint meetings with zoning and planning all together, so the
- landowner only has to come once. In response to the motion all members of the
- Board voted in favor. Question 2 was therefore not approved for inclusion in the
- 181 zoning amendment.
- Joe Halla commented that the 3 existing campgrounds were grandfathered in
- after the Town Meeting vote. This was about one individual. In his opinion if you
- changed ordinances for one person and it happens to benefit the other 3, then
- that cheapens the hearing process and the ordinance. Even if it ends up
- benefitting more in the future. None of the three campground owners were
- complaining. They were grandfathered. Tyson Miller disagreed, as Chair of the
- Planning Board when the Ordinance was passed, he stated that their last public
- meeting had included the 3 current campground owners and the Board had

agreed then to revisit the Ordinance and make revisions as necessary. Some attending for the Campground Ordinance hearing left the meeting.

## 4. Merging Commercial and Industrial zoning

- 193 Kent Ruesswick spoke to this proposed idea. It is hard to develop an industrial
- zone if it does not have the infrastructure that commercial zones have, water and
- sewer for instance. He has a conflict of interest down on exit 18 where he owns
- some land.

192

- Joshua Gordon said he had looked at the Table of Uses. There was a danger if you
- imported all the Commercial categories into the Industrial that you would
- potentially have residences in Industrial zoning. Home offices are disallowed in
- 200 Industrial. He suggested that they should go through the list looking at each
- 201 category because they were different and should be considered separately not
- 202 wholesale.

217

- 203 Greg Meeh said they had struggled to get development into the Industrial zone so
- in principle he thought this was a good idea. There was no heavy industry at Exit
- 17. Commercial use has a higher tax dollar value.
- Joshua Gordon suggested that it would be good to liberalize the industrial zone
- and members should go through all the aspects at the next meeting. Lucy Nichols
- said that Exit 17 is excellent for commercial development and there was a
- 209 difference between Commercial Wholesale and purely Industrial businesses.
- 210 Commercial Wholesale might fit well down at Exit 18.
- 211 Web Stout asked about the bylaws in that area. He was fully in agreement with
- 212 the idea. He wondered if the Board knew about the bylaws? Kent has spoken to
- 213 Jeff Leidinger about those. Greg Meeh recalled that the application the Board had
- some months ago for a machinery leasing business there had talked about the
- 215 Covenant and had not found the conditions of it to be onerous.
- The Board agreed to table this issue until the next meeting.

## 5. Canterbury Hall to return for Site Plan Review?

- 218 The Board discussed whether of not they should request the Canterbury Hall
- owners to return following their ZBA hearing and being approved there for multi-
- family use. There was a consensus that the Board does need to have a Site Plan

221 222 223 224 225 226 227	Review because this is a new use. They should look at what the owners are proposing and make sure that there are conditions relating to future growth. Bob Steenson stated that if they were not doing anything new, they could not be compelled to attend. However, there should be some update on the file in the town office. The Building Inspector could also go out and update the town record. Joshua Gordon proposed that the Secretary invite the owners for Site Plan Review during the January 11 meeting.
228 229	6. <u>Chance Anderson – site plan review for Tiny Cabins campground, 188</u> <u>Hackleboro' Rd</u>
230	Lucy Nichols recused herself.
<ul><li>231</li><li>232</li><li>233</li><li>234</li></ul>	Chance Anderson gave out a list of waiver requests on large sheets of paper. He said he still had to have the Building Inspector and Fire Chief come to inspect and approve the site. Joshua Gordon suggested the Board could conditionally approve so that it would have to be inspected by the Building Inspector and Fire Chief.
235 236 237	The waivers needed to be voted on one by one. Cheryl Gordon (BOS rep) abstained from these votes because there was not unanimous support for this application among the Select Board.
238 239	Some of the Board had been to visit the property and the Secretary had shared photographs.
240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247	The series of waivers for otherwise required exhibits were discussed in order. Joshua Gordon and Greg Meeh led the motions to waive the following waivers: 2.c) grade elevations: 2.d) catch basins: 2.h) water and sewer: 3. Seal of engineer and owners – Chance Anderson confirmed his sole ownership at this time: 10.) Erosion and sediment plan, and no waste survey needed: 11.) Noise study: 12.) Traffic study: 13.) Lighting study. All members voting voted ayes for all these waivers. It was requested that this list, with Chance Anderson's signature on it, and dated, should be made part of the formal record in the town office.
248 249	Kent Ruesswick asked for a motion to move the project forward repeating that Chance Anderson will have to ask for inspections from the Building Inspector and

Fire departments.

250

<ul><li>251</li><li>252</li><li>253</li><li>254</li></ul>	Joshua Gordon moved to approve the Site Plan on condition that the Building Inspector and Fire Chief approved. Greg Meeh seconded. All voting members voted in favor except Cheryl Gordon who abstained and Lucy Nichols who was recused.
255	Those remaining for this hearing then left.
256	6. Other business –
257 258 259 260	(i) Master Plan ongoing work: Kent had received email from Mike Tardiff of the CNHRPC asking to return in the New Year to work further on the Master Plan. The Secretary shared that the Canterbury Conservation Commission are also working on chapters 5-6 and will want to work with the Planning Board.
261 262	The email from Mike had been shared. Greg Meeh asked what exactly did he want the Planning Board members to do? The Secretary was asked to find out.
263	ii) <u>Future items</u>
<ul><li>264</li><li>265</li><li>266</li><li>267</li></ul>	There is a pre-conceptual application for self-storage on Rt 106 coming up for December 28, along with the Kinter property boundary line for the Center Historic District amendment. That evening could also include working on the Commercial and Industrial zoning amendment.
268	iii) <u>Right to know law</u>
269 270 271	Joshua Gordon gave out handouts from the NHMA on what constitutes a 'meeting' and the boundaries that board members need to be aware of, especially for sequential phone calls.
272 273	iv) Lucy Nichols reported that 'the dirt is moving' down at exit 17 park and ride.
<ul><li>274</li><li>275</li><li>276</li><li>277</li></ul>	<ol> <li>Adjournment         Scott Doherty made motion to adjourn, and Greg Meeh seconded, at 8.47pm.     </li> </ol>
278 279 280 281	Respectfully submitted, Lois Scribner, secretary