1	Final Minutes - Planning Board Work Session — February 14, 2023 Meeting House, 7 pm
3	······································
4	Members Present
5	Greg Meeh (Chair), Scott Doherty (Vice Chair), Joshua Gordon, Lucy Nichols, Anne
6	Dowling, Kent Ruesswick (BOS rep), Logan Snyder, alternate (taking notes for
7	absent secretary)
8	
9	Members Absent
LO	John Schneider
l1 l2	Others Present
12 13	Mike Tardiff, Director CNHRPC
L3 L4	Wilke Farain, Director Civilli C
L5	1. Call to Order
L 6	Greg Meeh called the meeting to order at 7.04 pm.
L7	
L8	2. Minutes of January 24
L9	Scott Doherty moved the previous minutes. Kent Ruesswick seconded. There being
20	no discussion all members present voted to approve those minutes.
21	2. Master Dien shouten duafts. Land lies Transportation and lieusing
22	3. Master Plan chapter drafts – Land Use, Transportation and Housing
23 24	Greg invited Mike Tardiff to discuss the work on drafts so far. Mike said that with
<u>-</u> 25	the Land Use chapter there are many edits, and it all needs review. Board
26	members should start reading and marking up. Members are asked to consider the
27	educational value of the drafts; is it too much, or does it address the needs of
28	residents who will know very little about the issues.
29	
30	With regard to the Transportation chapter, Mike said it had originally been worked
31	on pre-Covid and a great deal needed to be removed. It should be about 8-10
32	pages total.
33	Mike said they were still working an other drofts for the Haveing charter
34 35	Mike said they were still working on other drafts, for the Housing chapter.
36	Greg had met recently with Mark Stevens, who is taking over as Chair of the

Agricultural Commission from Wayne Mann. Mark had emphasized housing for

37

temporary and seasonal workers as an issue. It was agreed that the Master Plan should have as much detail as possible so it should include different potential solutions, such as looking at the campground ordinance as one way to address that need. Mark had also raised the issue of the townwide need for more permanent housing, which is tied into the work the Board will do on cluster development. It was noted that farmers may want to subdivide their land to be used for housing, maybe different kinds of housing, whether it be residential, commercial, or agricultural. The bonus density mechanism could be used to encourage the protection of prime agricultural soils and while still developing other parts of a property.

Such overlays and contingencies can increase the complexity of planning and regulation. Property owners want to be able to look up exactly what applies to their location. The CNHRPC staff had worked in Warner on a groundwater protection overlay and saw that resident generally did not understand the regulations. Zoning and regulations need to do a better job explaining.

The discussion turned to Housing. Canterbury is the first town to get a new 'fair share' number. The CNHRPC is working to finalize the new regional housing needs assessment. Page 7 in the draft has a discussion of a new 'fair share' analysis. Canterbury peaked at 14 building permits for residences a few years ago. In the Workforce Housing Needed section, it should say 'additional' — there should be 178 new homes over the next 20 years. It was noted that the preconceptual discussion about a large housing development at Exit 18 would meet that requirement.

It was noted that after Canterbury passed the ADU zoning amendment following a state mandate, there have been a significant number of ADU's added. It is not clear if these numbers have always been included in the building permit data historically. These numbers should be in the Master Plan. Sometimes they were omitted in records because they were changes to an existing home, not a new one. Page 7 of the draft has details allowing the data to be viewed in terms of income, specifically below, or at, or above the Area Median Income (AMI). The data for the ADU's will likely come from Matt Baronas working with Mandy in the town office.

Mike suggested that it all needed looking at – the workforce housing rules, the cluster development option, and how to promote that by being more proactive.

There is a bonus for workforce housing within the cluster development regulations. A lot depends on whether a municipality is meeting their 'fair share' number. Canterbury is meeting their 'fair share' number. Cluster developments would also allow multi-family housing (that is, more than two families). The idea of including sustainability, and solar power, was mentioned. Mike thought that was possible if a higher density was offered in return for sustainable features. It was also noted that the Master Plan cannot get into too much specific detail – it is more an aspirational document. But it is important for setting goals, and can be helpful to the town if a resident sues for unfair treatment.

There was mention on page 2 of the Housing draft about encouraging historic types of buildings. This would be something to discuss with the Historic District Commission – most likely after the upcoming town elections. There was general agreement that this section would meet some public resistance.

There was further discussion about these drafts being of use in ten years' time. And the factors (such as migration) behind the numbers of new housing units required to be found. Mike said there was a workbook for that.

The next chapter they are working on is the Natural Resources Chapter. All the work will be up on the website as pdf documents.

4. <u>Invest NH grant timeline</u>

The decision to set firm dates for public hearings on the Invest NH process was tabled to the next meeting (February 28).

The Invest NH grant determines to some extent the work that the town can do with CNHRPC as it has to be housing related. But that can include work on the site plan regulations and a land use handbook. It will all have to be a public process with public hearings and further vision session.

Greg asked about specific dates and times for the Housing Academy process referred to in the Invest NH grant application draft, which will mean 3 members of the Planning Board attending. Much of that is still being worked out, Mike said. There could be 5 meetings, some zooms, some in Manchester. Mike would meet with Ken Folsom and the Select Board. He needs the DUNS number that is for

Canterbury. Overall, the timeline is still being worked out and it might be possible to compress it a bit. The funding committee meets every week.

113114115

116

117

118

119

112

5. Setbacks

- This issue was raised due to a request for additional signage from the Patriot Holdings LLC down at Exit 18. They wanted a tall sign to be seen from I 93. Greg asked if the town should have a setback requirement for signs, although not from the roadside. Lucy Nichols noted that there is a federal setback around I 93.
- 120 It was agreed to table this for the next meeting, acknowledging the difference 121 between setback from the roadside and front/back of buildings.

122123

Greg had talked to Joel French, Building Inspector. It was also acknowledged that anything different from the site plan of that project would require the applicants to come back to the Planning Board.

125126127

128

129

130

131

132

133

124

6. New Business

- (i) There will be a preconceptual discussion for a proposal from Harold French to subdivide some land at 114 West Road.
- (ii) The ZBA has a hearing on Wednesday February 22 regarding one of the McKerley lots at 6 Oxbow Road, where an applicant wants to open a gun manufacturing business. There would have to be an indoor soundproof chamber to fire into. There may well be concerns about security given proximity to the 193.

134135

136

7. Adjournment

Kent moved to adjourn around 8.10 pm. Scott seconded. All in favor.

137138

- 139 Respectfully submitted,
- Lois Scribner, (working from notes taken by Logan Snyder).