<u>FINAL</u>
Minutes of the Planning Board Hearing
July 27, 2021, at the
Meeting House
Members Present
Kent Ruesswick (Chair), Lucy Nichols, Scott Doherty (Vice Chair), Anne Dowling, Greg Meeh, Hillary Nelson (alternate), Joshua Gordon
Members Absent
Cheryl Gordon (BOS rep)
Others Present
Mike Tardiff, Executive Director, CNHRPC (Central New Hampshire Regional Planning Commission), Matt Baronas, (former intern and assistant planner)
AGENDA
1. <u>Call meeting to order</u>
Kent Ruesswick called the meeting to order at 6.57 pm
2. <u>Minutes of June 22, 2021</u>
Hillary Nelson moved the Minutes of June 22. Anne Dowling seconded. Lucy Nichols suggested taking out the mention of the 'bubble house'. Members voted, all in favor, so the Minutes were approved.
 Master Plan Ongoing Discussion with Mike Tardiff and Matt Baronas of <u>CNHRPC</u>
Mike gave out color versions of Stephanie's draft fliers. He suggested using "Plan for Tomorrow" rather than "Master Plan" and will take out "2010" from the heading.
As secretary Lois had sent out email to members of the other committees and boards in town with advance notice of the date, time, place of the visioning sessions.

- 28 Mike suggested finding specific people in the other committees to connect with
- 29 PB members or CNHRPC staff. He could have about 5 of his staff to help on
- 30 October 2. Nearer to the time people could practice and role play the questions
- they want to ask as facilitators. A list of questions for each group should be
- 32 generated as this was a good way to keep conversations going.
- 33 There was discussion about choosing the structure for the 2 hours on October 2.
- It could possibly be a hybrid between whole group presentations and breakoutsessions.
- 36 From that discussion it was decided:
- The other committees and boards would be asked for their preferences
 regarding structure
- Kent (and Lucy) will attend a meeting of the Agricultural Commission on
 July 29 since they were the first group to reach out Kent would like to
 see a focus upon the issue of 'how to retain the fields in Canterbury'
- It probably makes sense to use the Town Hall as 'base' given its size
- Hillary will contact Ken Stern and Kelly Short for CCC involvement (they
 next meet in September)
- There should be 5 breakout groups not 6. These would be 1) Land Use,
 Economic Development, Internet and Energy 2) Natural, Cultural,
 Community and Recreational Facilities 3) Transportation (and roads) 4)
- 48 Agriculture 5) Housing, including Workforce Housing
- Planning Board members need to discuss their own priorities and thoughts about questions before discussions with other boards and commissions (ex. issues raised in discussion included climate change impact, water ways, roads and culverts, food resilience, location of commercial and industrial zones in relation to the river – climate change could be relevant to all groups)
- Questions came up about the Franklin Dam Mike said CNHRPC would
 look for information about the Army Corps management of it
- It would make sense to include Exit 17 issues in October 2 as well as
 Sherwood Forest issues given that these two neighborhoods in town often
 do not feel part of the wider town they should be included, reached out

60		to, and see if anyone responds – perhaps a BBQ outreach? Joshua Gordon
61		has been sending information to a contact in Sherwood Forest
62	•	This Plan for Tomorrow work does NOT have to be finished by Town
63		Meeting – this is part of the data gathering, as were the surveys, and there
64		should be time to consider the data collected before writing the rest of the
65		Plan with reflections and policy recommendations
66	•	Matt Baronas had started to look at mapping town businesses – Joshua
67		had been in touch with Jared Reynolds of UNH Coop Extension in
68		Boscawen. There is a state wide source for businesses. Obtaining data
69		about special exceptions and zoning decisions at the local level would be
70		impractical – Mike said he would be in touch with Jared too.
71	•	PB Members still need to decide if they wish to map businesses with
72		'shingle' up or as many of the home occupation businesses as possible
73		(obvious examples are the campgrounds, sap houses, air bnbs etc) – the
74		need to keep this information more readily accessible could be included as
75		a recommendation in the Plan
76	•	Mapping businesses probably needs its own work session
77	•	Mike will send the next drafts of the fliers to Lois to forward to Members,
78		along with some sample questions to get that started – and Mike and
79		Matt will return to PB meeting August 24
80		
81	4.	Completeness of Site Plan Review Application from Canterbury Hall Trust
81 82	4.	Completeness of Site Plan Review Application from Canterbury Hall Trust
	4.	
82	4.	Members discussed next steps. The Special Exception for a Bed and
82 83	4.	
82 83 84	4.	Members discussed next steps. The Special Exception for a Bed and Breakfast plus minimal elder care granted in 1992 from the ZBA had been shared. At the time the use was allowed in zoning. However Multi-family
82 83 84 85	4.	Members discussed next steps. The Special Exception for a Bed and Breakfast plus minimal elder care granted in 1992 from the ZBA had been shared. At the time the use was allowed in zoning. However Multi-family apartment buildings are not allowed in the Agricultural zone. Some
82 83 84 85 86	4.	Members discussed next steps. The Special Exception for a Bed and Breakfast plus minimal elder care granted in 1992 from the ZBA had been shared. At the time the use was allowed in zoning. However Multi-family
82 83 84 85 86 87	4.	Members discussed next steps. The Special Exception for a Bed and Breakfast plus minimal elder care granted in 1992 from the ZBA had been shared. At the time the use was allowed in zoning. However Multi-family apartment buildings are not allowed in the Agricultural zone. Some members thought the new proposal was a change in use. It was decided
82 83 84 85 86 87 88	4.	Members discussed next steps. The Special Exception for a Bed and Breakfast plus minimal elder care granted in 1992 from the ZBA had been shared. At the time the use was allowed in zoning. However Multi-family apartment buildings are not allowed in the Agricultural zone. Some members thought the new proposal was a change in use. It was decided that Ann and Jay Berry should be invited in writing to the next PB meeting

92	Scott Doherty made a motion to table the discussion and to give Ann notice		
93	that the Board wished to talk with her about the application. Joshua		
94	seconded and all members voted in favor of the motion.		
95			
96	5. <u>Any other business</u>		
97	Joshua had spoken to the lawyer at the NHMA on the issue of the Variance		
98	standards in the zoning ordinance. In Joshua's opinion this was the issue with		
99	the greatest legal liability. The NHMA advice had been to 'mimic the statute'.		
100	Joshua would work on a proposal that would eventually need to go to Town		
101	Meeting.		
102			
103	6. <u>Adjournment</u>		
104	Scott made a motion to adjourn at 8.25 pm. Greg seconded. All members		
105	in favor. Next meeting TUESDAY AUGUST 10, 7 pm.		
106			
107	Respectfully submitted,		
108	Lois Scribner, secretary		
109			