### <u>Historic District Commission Meeting - Draft Minutes</u>

## Wednesday April 3, 4 pm, Meeting House

#### **3 Members Present**

- 4 Kevin Bragg (Chair), Kent Ruesswick (BOS rep), Jeffery Leidinger,
- 5 Harry Kinter (alternate), Lois Scribner (secretary)

### 6 Members absent

7 Ginger Laplante, Anne Emerson

## 8 Agenda

1

2

9

# 1) Canterbury Shaker Village applications

- 10 The meeting was scheduled earlier than usual due to a Nor'easter
- storm beginning. Harry Kinter was made a voting member in lieu of
- 12 Anne Emerson.
- 13 Kevin introduced the CSV applications. There are 6 in total. There
- are 3 that are scheduled for work this summer: for the Brethren
- 15 Shop roof, the Meeting House windows, and the Parking Lot Gate.
- 16 Then there are 3 where much of the work/decision making has
- already been done: School House repairs, Dwelling House roof
- replacement, and the 5 Emergency Generators.
- 19 Members of the Commission expressed doubt that any of them
- 20 really meet the 3 criteria for waivers in the new zoning ordinance just
- passed (ie. Not visible from a public way, no impact on abutters, in
- 22 the spirit of the ordinance). But it may be possible to combine some
- for a single hearing, to streamline the process. This meeting was to
- consider how to proceed with a somewhat unprecedented situation.
- 25 It was recognized that having the applications after requesting this

- for some years is a good thing. However, initial reading of the
- 27 applications showed there appear to be some problems in that they
- 28 not 'complete' and may not have been received in advance by the
- 29 state Department of Historic Resources.
- 30 It was agreed that fundamentally, the HDC needs representatives
- from Shaker Village to come and talk. The applications in
- themselves are not sufficient, whether they are waived or approved.
- 33 The Secretary had been in touch with David Katz, the Board
- Chairman who had submitted the applications, and ascertained he
- would not be back in NH until the week of April 22, in other words,
- 36 after the HDC scheduled meeting on April 17.
- 37 Members questioned the rush given there had been such a long
- wait. Kevin believed that there is some pressure to get work done
- 39 this summer and some contractors and some funding was already
- 40 lined up. And if roofs were leaking, that means some urgency.
- 41 Jeff suggested we move the date of the April meeting to Wednesday
- 42 April 24 to accommodate Mr. Katz. The Commission wishes to be
- responsive but needs Mr. Katz to come and present the applications
- 44 to them, so they can talk about the required procedure for future
- dealings and not waste anyone's time. It would be the only agenda
- item for that evening.
- 47 Members looked at some of the applications. Jeff posed the
- 48 procedural question: had Shaker Village submitted all these
- 49 applications to the state DHR prior to sending to the HDC? The
- 50 Commission would want to know that the applications follow DHR
- regulations. This would be the case for all future applications too.

- Jeff further suggested inviting Laura Black from DHR to the meeting
- as a one-time only event, to expedite the processing of all this and
- hear from her at the same time as from the Village. It could help
- regularize procedures. Harry agreed. The state should sign off on all
- these applications before the HDC does. Kevin added that the state
- 57 may well not know all the things that have been done. But the
- correct procedure would be for all applications to be approved by
- the DHR first and then seen by the HDC. Some standards might be
- 60 more stringent from the state, and some HDC requirements might
- be more stringent. In the documentation it was noted that in one of
- the applications (the School House in 2022) Laura Black was asking
- for more information and stated the project met their standards 'in
- 64 part'.
- 65 There was also discussion about the pros and cons of inviting Laura
- 66 Black or another DHR staff person either before, during or after the
- 67 hearing with Shaker Village. It would be helpful to know about past
- experiences between the DHR and the Village. That would be more
- 69 about procedures than about the current applications, and it was
- agreed that these were different and required two different
- 71 meetings.
- 12 It was generally agreed that the Brethren Shop and maybe the Gate
- applications are sufficient for a hearing but the application for the
- 74 Meeting House windows (to repair and/or replace) was not complete
- or adequate.
- Jeff suggested that the hearing on April 24 should encompass the 3
- applications that need to be worked on soon (the Brethren Shop
- roof, the Meeting House windows, and the Parking Lot Gate). During

- that evening the HDC could raise as a related item the 5 generators
- issue, so that the record included that Kevin had had a verbal
- agreement about them being done in a certain way. There had not
- been an application, the state was not involved, so no one had
- input. Kevin noted that he had walked round with Laura Black and
- the state had less concern than the HDC about screening for the
- generator by the Laundry. The Village considers only one generator is
- visible from the public way. But ultimately there had been no formal
- agreements about the generator work between Village, state and
- 88 HDC.
- 89 Moreover, the work that has been done should show that there is
- 90 state approval for alterations made.
- 91 Harry suggested sending application information to all abutters, so
- 92 people know what is going on.
- 93 Kevin moved to offer Mr. Katz to meet with the HDC on April 24
- 94 and request that they could send in abutter fees for 3
- 95 applications (the Brethren Shop Roof, the Meeting House
- 96 windows and the Parking Lot Gate) rolled into one hearing. The
- 97 HDC would need a presentation from the Village for all 3
- 98 applications, together with commentary or certification from
- 99 DHR that they have either approved these applications or other
- clarification as to their status with the DHR. If April 24 does not
- work, the HDC could also offer to hear these in May at the
- 102 regular scheduled meeting.
- 103 Kent seconded the motion. There was no further discussion and all
- members present voted in favor of this plan.

105 106 107	It was then agreed that if the hearing took place on April 24, the HDC could invite Laura Black to the regular meeting in May, which would be May 15.		
108 109	Kevin agreed to contact Laura Black to see if she or someone else from their office can attend HDC in May.		
110 111 112 113	Kevin noted that there is a fair bit of detail in the applications and that the more heads and eyes see projects the better. There are fewer people seeing these work projects now at CSV than in the past and fewer people trained architecturally.		
114 115 116 117	Members discussed the issue of recusal. Harry considered his position as a member of the CSV Board and as a member of the HDC to be consistent – that both involved doing the best for both the Village and the town.		
118 119 120	Kevin would likely be in the situation of being able to chair the meeting but recusing himself from voting, given his membership on the CSV Building Committee.		
121	2) Alternates		
122 123 124 125	Kevin is still interested in having new members as alternates, including Alex Young, who had been informed of that day's meeting. The RSA 673.6 allows all Land Use Boards to have up to 5 alternates. So it would be good to have others with relevant experience.		
126 127	All members and alternates are appointed for 3 years. Kevin and Harry will need to see Sam Papps and be reappointed.		
128 129	Kevin noted the webpage listing members and their appointment dates may not be correct.		

131

### **Action items**

- 1. Secretary to draft invitation to David Katz and run by Chair invitation for the 3 hearings on April 24
- 2. And send certified letters to abutters once Mandy gives the list.
- 3. And check names/dates on webpage for Kal to amend as needed.
  - 4. Kevin to invite Laura Black for May 15 HDC meeting.

139

140

138

- 3) Adjournment
- 141 Jeff moved to adjourn and Kent seconded. It was 5.10 pm.
- 142 Respectfully submitted,
- Lois Scribner, secretary.