1	Final
2	
3	Canterbury Historic District Commission
4	Work Session Meeting
5	<u>January 21 2021, 7 pm</u>
6	Meeting House
7	
8 9	Present: Kevin Bragg (Chair), Mark Hopkins, Ginger Laplante, Anne
9 10	Emerson, Jeff Leidinger
11	Emerson, Jen Leidinger
12	Absent: Art Hudson
13	About Att Hadon
14	<u>Agenda</u>
15	
16	The meeting was called to order at 7 pm.
17	·
18	1. Minutes of December 2, 2020
19	
20	Kevin asked about putting minutes into lines. Lois can do that in the future
21	using Word on the Planning Board laptop.
22	Anne made a motion to accept the Minutes which had received a few
23	corrections from Jeff via email. Kevin seconded. All were in favor.
24	
25	2. <u>Barn update</u>
26	Keyin noted that the Days at 40 Contay Dood was atill up with its frame
27	Kevin noted that the Barn at 10 Center Road was still up, with its frame
28	accessible. Judy had been in touch with them before leaving saying she doubted they could take the rest of the frame down before spring. This was
29 30	when it had been snowy, before the recent mild spell during which no
31	further work had been done on the Barn. Kevin had wondered if the Town
32	would do anything. He had not heard from anyone but he had been asked
33	by some townspeople about progress. He is going to call the Town and
34	asked for Commission thoughts.
35	and a series of a series and a grand
36	Mark said he had 2 thoughts. One was that the Town was primarily
37	concerned with securing the site. What is there now does not constitute a
38	fence and in as much as Ron and Judy are out of state he suggested that
39	the Town hires a fence company to secure the site because it is more

dangerous than ever. If liability is an issue the Town could have a construction fence erected and send the bill to Ron and Judy.

Secondly, although it is nice to see the naked frame, this is what Mark had wanted to avoid. Every time timber goes through a wet-dry-freeze-dry cycle, it does not take long for the material to become degraded to the point it is no longer useful. His concern was for the timbers, having been saturated and frozen, and the pores of the wood splitting open. The timbers will be basically unusable by the time the framer gets round to taking it down. It cannot just sit there month after month.

Ginger asked if we could get hold of the contractor who was taking it down?

Kevin said he was calling the Town tomorrow to see what the process would be. Would the Building inspector be involved? Who would the town contact, Derek or Ron and Judy? Kevin had wanted to see what members were concerned about.

Ginger asked if it was for the Town to follow up? Mark replied the Town were the enforcers. Jeff said the Code Enforcement Officer was the primary officer who should take action. Kevin could initiate an action with an inquiry into the status of the property but it was up to the Town to follow up. Jeff added that what they are doing was not prescribed in the approval.

Mark commented that the Town had accepted their time-line but the owners were not following the agreement they had with HDC and Town. It should be an enforcement action that should kick in. Mark said he would suggest HDC asks them to take action in 30 days and then whatever available penalties should kick in. Ginger asked about fines. Kevin said violations could be fined. Jeff added there was a statutory fine.

Kevin said he would try to get hold of Ken to discuss this.

3. Putting HDC information on the website

Lois explained that the HDC could put things on the Town website soon when Jan Stout added a 'Create Content' tab. Kevin was in favor. Mark said that it was important to have the hearing guidelines and so on too. Kevin said that he had seen how Amherst was very detailed about

specifics. On our website we could do that, include regulations and other documents.

Jeff thought that we should post right away, with the 'guidelines' and 'hints' and put them on the HDC web page with a cross reference to the Zoning ordinance, Article 13, to see the full breadth of the ordinance. Then once all the materials are re-worked they can all be put on the HDC page, so it is one stop shopping. Jeff would also put a map of the town that has it right there. It would be a one page visual with overlay of the two historic districts.

It was thought that the Town should have a map with lots and outlines of the two districts. Jeff said in assessing you can see individual parcels. Kevin said 'avatar' (?) can do it. Jeff knew there was a mapping program that allowed you to do that. It should have an overlay screen. Jeff added it might behoove the HDC to look at boundary lines to see if there are folks who would like to be included (ex. Kinters who are one lot out) or someone who should be withdrawn. Ginger said that two-acre zoning in a village like Canterbury was ridiculous. Mark said most of the lots were non-conforming because they were old. Ginger said they should look at that issue. It would become part of the Master Plan, Mark suggested. Kevin wondered about cluster housing, making smaller lots and what would the HDC thoughts be then? It would make regulations more important for new building.

Lois will pursue putting up the documents that we have currently onto the website. Kevin to send scans of these.

Kevin will ask Ken about generating a map showing lots and zones.

Anne said that we should have certificate of approval like Amherst. Currently we don't have one of those but a letter is sent to applicants from the Chair giving the decision.

Jeff asked when the documents were originally put together? Mark thought it was in the late 80s. Jeff said that there were some defects. These are guidelines not regulations. Mark noted that there were unworkable things such as clapboards that cannot be purchased now, for instance. Jeff said that the beginning is a preface to what follows.

4. Other town HDC websites/procedures with ideas for Canterbury

Kevin asked if members had looked at them, for instance Amherst Bedford - Exeter — Sanbornton. Kevin said Sanbornton was interesting for

120 proximity to development.

Kevin opened the discussion for ideas.

Mark said he liked the positive nature of the Amherst HDC website with more carrot than stick. They have a nice reference for a checklist and mention preservation, the Secretary of the Interior and connect preservation with maintaining the value of properties. It lays out both the aesthetic and financial motives for doing the right thing.

Jeff said that Canterbury does have Article13.2 of the Zoning ordinance that is like a mission statement. It could lead into guidelines etc. It is a good starting place not needing massive renovation. Jeff thought there was a real advantage to having the ordinance with guidelines appended in a document, not part of it per se but it would give reference material.

Jeff commented that the Zoning statute was used by multiple communities back then. He suggested that maybe they should take section by section for each meeting and come prepared to discuss various sections. OR someone takes responsibility to come up with a straw horse for others to work through before and during meetings.

Kevin said that he had looked at the Planning Board - they have other documents not in the Zoning ordinance whereas our Zoning ordinance is pretty full, so certain aspects of the Zoning ordinance can be lifted out and put into lay terms. Mark thought Amherst does a good job at that. Ginger said Sanbornton had a rationale in their preamble. Lois found Bedford easy to read and they also gave reasons for the existence of an HDC in positive terms.

Jeff said he would be willing to put together a straw horse to discuss an outline and fill it in. Jeff said he would send out the document to Lois to distribute to members to work on. Kevin asked that everyone look at the document before the next meeting.

Ginger suggested that we should all look at Sanborton as it was smaller, and less complicated than Amherst, closer to Canterbury in size and with lots which were mixed.

Jeff noted also that in Exeter's ordinance the Code Enforcement Officer can approve certain types of things which were more minor in nature and then they come to the HDC chair. That helps to streamline things. Mark said he had done that informally for years on things like generators and small renovations - he would give suggestions to people, not having to waste time for everyone.

Mark said he had been meaning to go through section D in particular - in the guidelines — Architectural Features and D and C(?) in the Regulations. He would work on those.

Mark added that there were things missing from the existing narrative. Canterbury had a variety of ages, uses and structures that made the historic districts special. There was a real mix of era's from 1700s to 1960s and the totality of that mix really defines how the Center evolved. It is not preserved in amber, maybe not as pretty as some other districts. Kevin said later down the road they could discuss the introduction. There was every reason to say what was historic, in the buildings and landscape and the way things are in a rural village type of town. So if someone wants to build a complete replica of a Georgian mansion, if it did not fit, it would not be acceptable. There is a bigger thing, to protect the feeling as well as structures. Jeff said it was the interrelationship of the way the community had evolved that allowed the HDC be a real asset, to work with the Conservation Commission over Kimball Pond, for instance, to keep the essence of 'village'.

Ginger said one of the other towns mentions the landscape too. Mark commented a lot of coastal towns in Maine have a similar mix. Towns evolve. As farming declines lots get smaller and there is a mix of housing. There has to be a nice way to write that up. Lois suggested looking at the history books of Canterbury.

Kevin said that there should be benefits mentioned of property owners maintaining standards.

5. AOB

Town Report

Mark said that in his notice for the Town Report it might be nice to establish that the HDC is a positive force in the Town and it would be nice to recognize particular projects in either district where things have been done well. It was important to call out things that were good even if they were out of the official districts themselves.

We should keep a record of what the decisions are made and this could be done by adding to the existing document list that Lois prepared in October looking back over the past 5 years.

A HDC specific Laptop?

Lois has been using her own and now has access to a very heavy Dell that is the Planning Board laptop. It is not easy to take minutes on. Kevin and Mark felt that there should be one for HDC business. Mark said that the budget was about \$300 but it was never spent. Mark made a motion that the salary of the HDC secretary should be the same as the Planning Board secretary job and the second part of that motion was to ask the Town to provide a laptop for the secretary out of HDC budget. Ginger seconded the motion.

Kevin asked Lois to speak to this. She is now secretary for the Planning Board, and technically for the Conservation Commission as well. Jeff thought they could get a town computer that was adequate and the same salary for the different commissions. Mark said that Lois should be in on the purchase. Jeff suggested that Kevin should approach Ken to ask re the computer and ask for the same salary as the other commissions. We should not be sharing computers. Kevin asked about the budget question and Mark indicated he should ask Jan Stout. Jeff noted the Town was doing budgets right now. Jeff believed they ought to be able to hire professionals as needed to assist with specific issues or applications because there are people who might be of huge value, for example engineers, for reviews. It should be kept as possibility and could be done if there was more money in the HDC fund.

Jeff added that picking up what Anne said at the last meeting, regarding the HDC requiring people to do things they don't have resources for, offering help and assistance. It would be a really positive thing to offer, to see if we can get grants or hire grant writers for a few hundred bucks. Ginger said that there are grants to save barns.

There was discussion about what it might have been like had the HDC been able to get second opinions from engineers regarding the Barn's fate.

If the HDC had a budget and fees were applied, such costs could be covered. People could not be forced to do something but the HDC did not

have to accept the views of a property owner's 'expert' especially over an

issue like demolition.

Jeff said he had drafted a change to the application form with a grid on it, saying if you are doing a, then you need b c and d - plans/ photographs etc - so it is very clear what people have to provide.

Kevin agreed that demolition could be agreed to with conditions attached and reasons given for why it could not be approved. We should not take property owner's opinions. You cannot make owners spend money but you can prevent something being taken down. But then the onus has to be on the owner to say why it must come down - and then you have a second opinion or try to get financial help. Jeff said some years ago he had spoken with Jennifer Goodman to ask about applying for barn funds but the Town did not want to buy it so that fell through. It is private barn in a very public place. There was a tension between private ownership and public good. It was a difficult balance. Mark had hoped their insurance carrier would step in and call it a hazard and demand it to be secured. That did not happen. The insurability of a building in that situation should come into play if it was on the same lot as the house. It is not sub dividable - there is not enough road frontage for a subdivision, Jeff said.

Kevin made a motion to adjourn. Mark seconded. All agreed.

NEXT MEETING WILL BE WEDNESDAY 17 FEBRUARY, 7 PM, MEETING HOUSE.

Respectfully submitted, Lois Scribner, secretary to HDC.