DRAFT MINUTES, CANTERBURY CONSERVATION COMMISSION

MEETING HOUSE, O	October 19,	2021,	7 PM
------------------	-------------	-------	-------------

2
Э

1

2

4 Members Present

- 5 Kelly Short (Chair), Ken Stern (Co-Chair), Steve Seron, Teresa Wyman,
- 6 Linda Fife, Bob Steenson (BOS rep)

7 Members Absent

8 Sara Riordan, Ashley Ruprecht, Robert Fife

9 Guests present

- 10 Mike Tardiff (Executive Director Central NH Regional Planning
- 11 Commission) and Matt Baronas, CNHRPC staff

12 Agenda

1. Approve prior Minutes

Ken moved minutes of July 12, 2021. Steve seconded. The Minutes were carried as all members present voted aye.

16 17

18

19

13

14

15

2. Meeting with Central NH Regional Planning Commission

Mike Tardiff and Matt Baronas were introduced to the Commission members who then introduced themselves.

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Related to the Master Planning process, Mike explained that the idea of having in-person visioning sessions organized with the Planning Board had been set aside because of the pandemic. The CNHRPC were taking their outreach to smaller groups in town, and had met with the Planning Board, CCC tonight and tomorrow the Historic District Commission. Their office saw Master Plans as tools for all commissions in town. He still hoped that in January or

February they might be able to revive the idea of wider in-person public participation. The questions he wanted to ask of the CCC was how can the Master Plan help the CCC with its work and priorities? What issues did the CCC want to see in the document? He noted the Master Planning process can be a good opportunity to make connections with other towns (ex. with Loudon on the issue of trails).

Matt talked about the online mapping software they were using to create "story maps." The GIS-based software allows for zooming in and viewing data and for overlaying maps with one another. Matt and Mike had prepared a number of maps to leave with the CCC members. They asked for members to mark them up with the local knowledge that was not necessarily available to them through public information. These maps covered different categories, including soils, agricultural land, land use (with some businesses and institutions like schools that needs updating), wetlands, and 'developmental constraints'.

There was some discussion about the characteristics of land that have historically limited development (due to wetlands, steep slopes and ledge) in Canterbury. It was noted that the lack of a public water supply and sewer system are limiting factors in housing development. Kelly asked that the various maps be shown with the town's zoning districts overlaid upon them.

In terms of overall process towards the Master Plan Mike said this was the tail end of Phase 1. They had survey and other data available and that phase would close with data from public participation. Phase 2 would involve putting together the

information acquired from public visioning sessions. If that was impossible because of Covid, then reach out to smaller groups like the PTO and seek views from residents. In the final resort, they could use technology in the spring if needed. It might even attract more people.

There was further discussion about the coloring used on the various maps. Computer monitor versions do not always correspond to the printed version. It was suggested that to delineate topographic elevation contours in yellow or gold so they are visible in areas colored gray. On the land use map, it can be confusing to see blank areas on the maps as these are actually forested areas.

Mike agreed to send the survey results online and their office would supply the links to town story maps. They could come back with paper versions too.

Bob asked for percentages of the different zoning districts in town, and how many acres were in each.

There was some discussion about the CNHRPC work with other towns in terms of trail maintenance and discovery. Some towns have Trails Committees. The Master Plan might show the need for something similar in Canterbury.

Kelly thanked Mike and Matt for attending and bringing the maps for them to work on. They left the meeting.

Members discussed the logistics of making these maps available in a safe manner for them to mark up. Members can access the maps online – the CNHRPC office can offer support if needed – and then perhaps for the next meeting the maps can be laid out in the Meeting House, spaced out on tables, for marking up.

92

93

87

88

89

90

91

3. Items to discuss or review

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

(i) Conservation property priority process update: Ken and Kelly had talked to Emily Preston. Emily had given suggestions for a new survey of members' conservation priorities. Kelly will try to get this new survey out soon. Members will be given 100 points to assign how they choose, and the list of elements will be limited to a solely ecological resources. Emily had suggested that there be a second group of Canterbury residents who could take the survey, those who had natural resource backgrounds. Teresa wondered if a third group could be included, those who might not have professional training but had a land use experience from their working lives. Emily will also confirm that the newest version of the NHF&G Wildlife Action maps are available. Ideally these CCC surveys will be done by the next meeting which is less than 3 weeks away, scheduled for November 8.

111

112

113

114

115

116

4. Other Business

(i) Rocky Pond weed control update:

Members discussed the support currently given (\$1000 per year) towards the treatment and control of milfoil in the

pond. There is an unrelated issue for some Rocky Pond residents of replacing a culvert under the road which provides access for some homeowners on the pond. The Rocky Pond Association has asked the town to consider increasing its financial contribution. Members decided to maintain the current level of support until the full expenses and income sources for the project were better understood.

(ii) Mowing at Robert S. Fife Conservation Area:
Ken reported that the mowing had been done on the field.
He will confirm whether it was done on the dam. If not, CCC needs to determine how to get it mowed. Linda reported from Bob Fife that he and Mark Stevens had repaired the 'beaver deceiver', and Bob had cut brush on the field of Kimball Pond Road. Kelly asked Linda to thank Bob on behalf

(iii) Glines conservation easement project update: Nothing new to report.

(iv) Budget Discussion:

of the Commission.

Kelly shared a draft budget, recommending that expenses be kept flat with a few exceptions for the next year. It was suggested that the admin support be budgeted at \$800 to reflect 2021 actual costs and the land management might need increasing. The matter of removing old bridges and boardwalks in the nature walk at the Riverland Conservation area was discussed. Possibly that could be done with help from Chief Lebreque accessing prison work teams. Ken suggested August was the best time to do it as the land would be at its most dry. Ken moved that the budget

147		recommending expenditure of \$10,685 be accepted and
148		Linda seconded. All members present voted aye.
149		
150	(v)	Morrill Road project:
151		Bob reported that the bridge is now complete and looks
152		good.
153		
154	(vi)	Riverland signage, regarding opening and closing times:
155		Ken reported that resident David Day had called to say it
156		was past the closing date yet the porta potty was still
157		accessible and the gates were still open. The signage is
158		confusing and needs to be made more consistent. The
159		length of the open season may need to be increased due to
160		warm weather in May and late October. Action items: Bob S.
161		will talk to Ken Folsom about removing the porta potty and
162		getting the gate closed. Ken Stern will lead an effort to get
163		agreement on new signage in time for next spring.
164		
165	(vii)	Growth in the R.S Fife Conservation area:
166		Kelly noted that there were some parts of the conservation
167		area in need of clipping back. Members were encouraged to
168		take a look. It might require a work party to tackle the
169		overgrown rock pile.
170		Ken moved to adjourn at 9.02 pm. All in favor.
171		Next meeting, Monday November 8, 7 pm, Meeting
172		House/remote access if required.
173		
174		Respectfully submitted,
175		Lois Scribner.