Brook.

39

FINAL 1 2 CANTERBURY CONSERVATION COMMISSION 3 4 Meeting Minutes, March 8, 2021 5 6 Members Present on Zoom: 7 Kelly Short (Moderator), Ashley Ruprecht, Teresa Wyman, Ken Stern 8 (Acting Chair), Steve Seron, Lois Scribner (secretary) 9 10 Members on Phone: 11 Bob and Linda Fife 12 13 Guest: 14 Mark Stevens 15 16 17 Absent 18 Sara Riordan, Chris Blair 19 The meeting was opened by Kelly at 7 pm with member introductions. 20 21 1. Minutes 8 February 2021 22 23 Ken Stern moved that the minutes of 8 February be accepted. Steve Seron 24 seconded. Kelly invited comments or corrections. 25 Steve mentioned that in section 2.1 should read 'wood', and also that there 26 should be a space after his name. With these amendments, all members 27 voted in favor of the Minutes. 28 29 2. Guest Speaker 30 31 Mark Stevens introduced himself as a long time Canterbury resident living 32 on the corner of Kimball Pond Road and Pickard Road. He explained he 33 had plans to build a retirement home for him and his wife and pass his farm 34 over to his son. He has purchased an 8 acre lot from Dwight Keeler on the 35 corner of Kimball Pond Road and Pickard Road, with long frontage on 36 Kimball Pond Road. Mark pointed out and the Commission members 37 concurred that abundant wildlife use the area and corridor along Burnham 38

The lot has enough area to be subdividable. He wondered if the
Conservation Commission was interested in working out some way of
conserving part of that land. He did not have a precise timeline for this
other than to decide about the possible sale the land. They will be building
their new house regardless of the conservation outcome.

There was discussion among members with Mark Stevens about the location of the lot and the relative role of conservation easements versus other means of conserving land and precluding development. There was a consensus among members that this was an important parcel of land for wildlife. Conservation would be desirable. Members looked at the Co-Occurrence Map as well as a surveying map online. Mark stated he had not ruled anything in or out at this point, but he was looking for some reimbursement. It was in current use so he would have to pay a penalty for that. He asked that someone from the Commission get back to him to share their thinking about his proposal.

Kelly Short and members thanked Mark Stevens for considering conservation. He left the meeting.

3 Items to review or discuss

(i) Canterbury Conservation Commission Property Priority Process

Draft documents for consideration had been circulated in advance to facilitate the Commission discussing the various criteria to use when evaluating projects to support. The Commission's financial resources are limited and do not stretch far given the cost of land in town.

Members discussed the Scoring Ideas list with its 11 criteria and the Property Evaluation form with its 14 criteria.

All members agreed that Kelly Short's list was a good place to start. Her shorter list would be called the Project Criteria from now on. She wanted to get to a weighted system eventually because the Co-Occurrence map had treated everything equally across town and that did not help in terms of making decisions about what was most important to conserve.

Member discussed some of the criteria listed including: vernal pools, the size of a property, public access and scenic attributes. **Bob Steenson**

offered to share some matrix examples from other industries with Kelly Short so members could see how to set up detailed scoring matrices.

Kelly Short offered to create a survey for members in which they could weight the existing 14 criteria and see if the responses yielded a Commission consensus on evaluating proposed conservation projects. The goal would be to create a list that could be easily accessible to town residents if a decision needed to be explained to landowners or the public. Members all agreed that the list was a good place to start in creating a way to explain their decision-making in town.

Kelly Short asked if anyone else had considerations about ranking criteria. She felt 'large un-fragmented land' and 'water resources' were so important that they were at the top. Ken Stern shared that we may want to take into consideration the level of generosity of a landowner, and also the fact that conservation easements still left land owned privately, meaning that timber harvests could be conducted and views changed.

Ashely Ruprecht asked about the monetary aspects. Were gifts of land given as donations more important than sales of land? Kelly Short responded that the Commission did look favorably on such donations and had tended to work with other institutions such as Five Rivers, the Forest Society or NH Fish and Game for the monitoring and implementing of such easements. There may be IRS implications if there is a donation and the land owner is seeking a tax deduction. **Ken Stern offered to send members a copy of the Five Rivers criteria which identify IRS considerations as part of their analysis.**

There was consensus that the Commission needs criteria for evaluation so that the objective value of a project is separate from any other consideration.

Kelly will send a survey to everyone to rank the criteria.

(ii) Conservation Commission members, new officers

Linda Fife noted this was not a good time during the pandemic to run into people to talk about joining the Commission.

120	Ken Stern agreed to remain Chair if Kelly Short continued to assist.
121	
122	Member appreciation: The Commission reluctantly accepted member Chris
123	Blair's resignation and thanked him for his eight years of service to the
124	town while on the Commission, and for his many thoughtful and
125	constructive contributions to our work.
126	

(iii) Permits

Ken Stern had looked at intent to cut forms. One was in Canterbury Shaker Village. Ken had sent the form to Steve Walker at the State who monitors the easement.

(iv) RSFCA Rock Removal Project

Linda Fife had checked in with Harry Kinter about the pile of rocks in the field. Harry had no specific further information. Linda Fife suggested talking to the old timers in town. Bob Fife noted that fields were named for owners. He would like to contact some of the folks in town in their 90s to interview them. Kelly Short knew that Mark Stevens had already talked to some of them so suggested **Bob Fife check in with Mark Stevens first.**

(v) Glines Conservation Easement project

Ken Stern said there some concerns had come up, nothing was happening soon. They may need to put the check back and then cut a new one. **Kelly will talk to Ken Folsom about that.**

4 OTHER BUSINESS

(i) Kelly Short noted the Carsons had purchased and renamed Morning Dove, now Rolling Ridges LLC. It may come to the CCC in the future as a project.

(ii) Polly Ham forest - Ken Stern noted this 400 acre parcel on the Northfield line was under agreement but to whom is not known.

(iii) Misc. issues: NH Fish and Game created a tool a year ago to help people engaged in trail building. It is now a webinar on their

160		website, on demand. Kelly Short can mention that in the Town	
161		Newsletter for property owners who may be want to use it. Ashely	
162		Ruprecht had seen the webinar and thought it was interesting on	
163		how to create a trail system and how to take wildlife into	
164		consideration.	
165			
166	(iv)	Ashley Ruprecht mentioned the Town Report where her name was	
167		spelled wrongly in the first section about the CCC. Linda Fife	
168		noted that Faith Berry's name is there incorrectly. Kelly Short said	
169		that there were often typo errors in the CCC names.	
170			
171	Kelly Short noted she had items to send to Linda and Ashely.		
172			
173	Next Canterbury Conservation Meeting		
174			
175	Monday, April 12, 7 pm. Kelly Short will get the information about the Town		
176	Zoom for that meeting.		
177			
178	Respectfully submitted,		
179	Lois Scribner, secretary to CCC.		
180			