1	Planning Board Meeting – Work Session
2	January 23, 2024, 7 pm, Meeting House
3	Final Minutes
4	<u>Members Present</u>
5 6	Greg Meeh (Chair), John Schneider (Vice Chair), Joshua Gordon, Anne Dowling, Rich Marcou, Logan Snyder
7	Members Absent
8 9	Ben Stonebraker (alternate), Kent Ruesswick (at the polls), Hillary Nelson (alternate)
10	Others Present
11	Jim Snyder (applicant)
12	Agenda
13	1. <u>Call to Order</u>
14	Greg called the meeting to order at 7 pm.
15	2. <u>Previous Minutes January 18</u>
16 17 18	Rich Marcou made a motion to delay approving those Minutes since they were long and had only been emailed that afternoon. Logan Snyder seconded, and it was agreed to review them at the February 13 meeting.
19	3. Jim Snyder Subdivision – Completeness review
20	Jim mentioned that the surveyor he worked with thought topographical lines
21	could not be included on a plat, so there were two sheets, only one of which
22	had the topography shown. Jim was going to ask him to put everything on one
23	sheet. He was also aware that there were some other errors (Susan's name
24	missing in one place and the elliptical locus map being in color). He had the
25	state approval for the septic. The other thing missing was the soils map.

- 26 Greg suggested taking one issue at a time. Board members talked through the
- issues from the subdivision checklist with the applicant, often highlighting
- issues in the Planning Board subdivision regulations that need addressing
- later this year with CNHRPC. (Greg kept notes and secretary also keeping a
- list). Greg invited Jim to help with that work and the production of a Land Use
- 31 Handbook.
- 32 Vice Chair John Schneider and Rich Marcou had been through the application
- 33 with the Subdivision requirements checklist to do a preliminary review for
- completeness (procedure agreed on September 26, 2023, meeting). The
- 35 issues were:
- 36 #6: locus map, supposed to be 1"=500', his is 1"=2000' and 1"=250': #11: no
- 37 mention of soil types: **#16**: no street number for proposed subdivision: **#19**:
- there are no cross-sections or profiles of any street/road: **#21**: does not
- indicate where feasible connections to electric utilities would be: **#22**:
- 40 missing Soils Delineation, based on Soils Survey, Merrimack County: **#27a**.:
- number of building lots? His application indicates "2", but plat only shows 1.
- 42 There was detailed discussion of all these issues. Decisions made included:
- Soils just show those in the 4-acre lot that is being subdivided from the
 current 48-acre lot.
- The DES approval of the septic has been given, it is no longer pending,
 and was given to Mandy (secretary will obtain that for Board).
- Locus map it was agreed it should be scaled to the project Greg
 proposed accepting the locus map shown on the plat 1 inch to 1000 ft.
- Topography shown it was agreed to keep the 2 ft intervals since they
 were clear to see.
- Wetlands Jim had asked for a waiver for delineating wetlands on the larger remaining lot – there is some question about the ordinance requirements for the Rural zone, but it was agreed Jim's plan already showed more than was strictly required, which was good. Jim had wetlands scientists go in first and then the surveyor and he offered to

- walk the Board round the remaining acres. Greg said the wetlands wereshown as needed.
- Street numbers it was agreed just to show the numbers of a street address as proposed and to add that to the waiver request list.
- Cross section and profile these are not applicable because there is no
 new road being built and so that can be waived.
- Feasible connections to utilities it was suggested there could be a
 note to say that the Eversource and Comcast lines run along the road.
 However, there were utility poles shown on the plan and the lot was
 feasible for new owners in terms of utilities.
- Number of Building Lots requirement 27 b the checklist requires 66 Building Lots to be shown not Dwelling Units – so it could show Lot 11.1 67 and Lot 11.2 as the subdivided lots. There is a contradiction between 68 the check list language and the application form language - and maybe 69 confusion over what exactly IS the subdivision – the whole or the small 70 piece cut off? Greg suggested asking CNHRPC about that. Logan 71 googled the definition which stated it was the smaller piece broken off 72 from the whole. It was suggested that there was one subdivision so it 73 would be Lot 11.1 shown. Subsequently it was found that the Building 74 Lot number was included in General Note 1. on the plat. 75
- Loudon Jim said his back boundary is the Canterbury-Loudon line so
 as a courtesy he will show the plan to Loudon to sign but he is not doing
 anything in that town.

Jim asked to recap the items the Planning Board required so they could voteon approving conditional completeness.

- 81 The 5 things for Jim to do:
- 1. Direct the surveyor to remove the multiple sheet data note in right handcorner.
- 2. Add Topography to the map at 2 ft intervals contour lines for Lot 11.1 andalso remove the related topographical data note.

- 86 3. Add Soils note for lot 11.1.
- 4. The Locus Map is accepted as presented.
- 5. Add existing and proposed street numbers for both lots.

⁸⁹ John Schneider made a motion to conditionally accept this application.

90 Joshua Gordon seconded. Logan Snyder recused themself as an abutter.

91 All members present voted in favor of the conditional acceptance.

- 92 Rich thanked Jim for his patience in working through the check list items,
- since it was a learning experience for him as a new member and the Board asa whole.
- Jim mentioned a potential dispute with his Loudon neighbor and was advised

to bring something from the past court case to the February hearing. And for

97 the Board and the Town, 3 large copies of the plat and 3 smaller copies for

- 98 abutters who might attend.
- 99 The Board thanked Jim for coming.

100 4. Future Schedule and Meetings

The Secretary noted that the February 13 meeting agenda was quite full. There
would be Jim Snyder's subdivision, Web Stout returning for Alfred Nash (60day extension running out), a possible preconceptual, and Unitil Scenic Road
tree work.

Greg noted that the Board had met many times in recent weeks, so he waslooking to reduce the schedule if possible around Town Meeting time.

- 107 5. Warrant Articles remaining work
- 108 Matt Monahan from CNHRPC had sent the list of the warrant articles
- summary language to be used. Members wanted to rework some of these to
- be more precise and include specific details. It was agreed that Joshua and
- 111 Hillary (who had worked on the blurbs previously) would look at the list
- and make changes. Logan would also check them over for proof reading.
- 113 When finalized the Secretary will send the list to Ken Folsom.

114 6. <u>New Business</u>

- Greg asked if members wanted to meet at 6 pm. Overall it was felt that
 it would be confusing to change the times, even for the work sessions.
 So, the time would be kept to 7 pm.
- 118 There was discussion about the Planning Board generating a short video to explain the warrant articles to town residents in the weeks prior to 119 voting. Joshua offered to speak the rationales, as a video. He would 120 start with the most controversial first. Logan would help make it and 121 122 save it to YouTube. The goal was to show that these warrant articles had a purpose to direct how growth happens in the future. (Note, Secretary 123 124 talked to Kal in the Town office and she can upload such a video to a YouTube account for the Board and/or the town). 125
- There would need to be information in the Town email and Town/Church
 Newsletter too. Text for the Newsletter in March would have to be
 submitted by February 15/16. Once Joshua has decided on language,
 and the reworked list of warrant articles is available, the Chair and
 Secretary can work on getting information out.
- Logan pointed out we need to include Facebook, which can be done if
 there is a YouTube video. There is chatter on the Town Facebook page
 already. Logan often responds if they think it is necessary.
- 134

135 **7.** <u>Adjournment</u>

- 136 Rich made a motion to adjourn, and Logan seconded. It was 8.35 pm.
- 137 Respectfully submitted,
- 138 Lois Scribner, secretary

139