1 2	Final
3	Canterbury Planning Board
4	Meeting February 9, 2021 at 7 pm
5	Meeting House and by telephone conference
6	(1 866 678 6823: access code 8863361#)
7	
8	Board Members present:
9 10	Tyson Miller (Chair), Kent Ruesswick (Vice Chair)
10	Others present:
12	Kevin Bragg, applicant, Howard Moffett (neighbor)
13	Trading Dragg, applicant, Frontal a meneti (neighbor)
14	Board Members on phone:
15	Cheryl Gordon (BOS rep.), Brendan O'Donnell (alternate in place of Hillary
16	Nelson)
17	
18	Absent:
19	Hillary Nelson, Scott Doherty, Joshua Gordon, Lucy Nichols
20	Agondo
21 22	<u>Agenda</u>
23	1. Call to order
24	<u> </u>
25	Chairman Tyson Miller called the meeting to order just after 7 pm. He read
26	the Governor's statement enabling the Planning Board to meet
27	electronically except for a few members and principals masked and
28	distanced and others attending via telephone conference (number above).
29	
30	2. <u>Draft Minutes for Meeting January 26, 2021</u>
31	Kant Pugeswick moved the Minutes of 26 January he accepted Typen
32 33	Kent Ruesswick moved the Minutes of 26 January be accepted, Tyson seconded and asked for any corrections.
34	seconded and asked for any corrections.
35	Kent noted that in Line 184 'Northfield' should be deleted.
36	
37	Tyson noted too that the Agenda for this evening mentioned materials for
38	the 2 campgrounds and that was a Secretary typo – the campground
39	hearings were not scheduled for tonight. Those applicants had been written
40	to. Cheryl Gordon and Kent asked for copies of those 3 letters of the

decision of notice to the applicants. Members present both tonight and at the last meeting voted. Kent and Tyson voted aye so the Minutes were accepted with revision.

3. <u>Pre-conceptual discussion – Kevin Bragg – 364 Baptist Road,</u> <u>Farm Stand and Greenhouse in Agricultural zone</u>

Tyson reminded members that they did not get into actual details in a preconceptual discussion as in a site plan review because no abutters had been noticed so this was just about the zoning ordinance and general points of discussion.

Kevin Bragg explained he had received a letter from the Building Inspector back in September 2020 noting that he was selling items from his greenhouse. He was asked to contact the Building Inspector so he could be scheduled for both ZBA and PB.

Kevin said he had been growing in greenhouses since 1995 when he moved to town, in different zoning areas on Old Tilton Road, Morrill Road and Center Road. He had grown but not sold from his home then, he sold at farmer's markets and off site. Just over 2 years ago he moved to 364 Baptist Road. Under the Table of Uses there are about 5 levels of agricultural uses for that zone and greenhouses are allowed in that zone. He had been doing the whole sale operation last year but since Covid he needed to sell the plants so he decided it was a good time to make the switch to selling at the property. This was the first time he had people coming to his house to purchase plants.

He was not sure what he was being asked to do in that letter. He had gone to ZBA and talked to Joe Halla and they looked at the zoning ordinance and said that greenhouses for commercial purpose are allowed in that zone. So he was not sure what he needs to do – a site plan review or home occupation exception. His greenhouse was built by him and it was a structure that could be taken down and reconstructed with no impact to the ground beneath. He said he had LED lights that he switched on if he needed to work at night but then switched off when done. With the small scale operation he had using lights to grow was not economically feasible.

Tyson said there were 3 things going on here. The roadside stand and commercial greenhouse and ZBA special exception, which is not needed.

The building is allowed under the zoning but the site plan review would go further than that, recognizing it is allowed. There was some discussion about the site plan review regulations and interpretations regarding pick your own, horticultural and agricultural activities.

Kent asked where exactly the farm stand was? Kevin had bought in a visual showing it is the little grey roof building on the west of the property. Kent said he was seeing Abboton Road. That was a pre-existing driveway off there. Tyson asked about dimensions and Kevin said that it should be 20 ft from the front or 45 ft from the center of road and shall not exceed 1200 sq feet. His is 816 sq feet.

Tyson commented that the Board doesn't usually do a site plan review for a farm stand so he did not think Kevin needs to do anything further about that. Cheryl had no further questions. Brendan said he thought Kevin had walked through the regulations well, farm stands are allowed and are exempt from site plan review so long as they meet regulations. Kent had no further questions.

With regard to greenhouses, Tyson asked if a site plan review was advised. Concerns are usually about abutters, for example issues like lighting concerns and this property was close to an ecologically sensitive area so there would be concern about effluents into that. Plus any additional greenhouses in the future. Kevin responded that he might well construct another greenhouse. This was intended as a retirement property. He hoped to plant outdoors too. Tyson said he would need to come to Planning Board if he wanted to add another greenhouse but what about just with this one? Cheryl said she did not think so. Kevin has done his homework and she did not have a problem.

Brendan asked who wrote the letter to the applicant? That might be the best person to ask. Tyson replied it was the Building Inspector and Tyson had talked to Ken Folsom about it. Joel French did not know exactly what the applicant needed to do so had said he should go to Planning Board. Kevin had spoken to Joel to ask if a neighbor had concerns. No, Joel said it was someone on Zoning or Planning Board that had bought it to his notice.

Brendan said that there was a statute that refers to a change of use requiring a site plan review. He understood that agriculture was sometimes

special in those terms. He was not sure what the difference was, whether all greenhouses were subject to these RSA's.

Brendan said they wanted to balance not being a road-block to what seems a reasonable use on the property, is encouraged in the master plan with the interests of abutters. So if the applicant was to come to them for site plan review and abutters are noticed and none show up they could then go ahead. It is abutters who stand to lose if there is no site plan review.

Kevin said that he had talked to abutters. He had discussed run off, because he uses organic fertilizer for herbs and plants, and he has drip irrigation not sprinklers. He was happy to answer any questions about his operation. Tyson said it sounds as if he did a site plan he would sail right through. Kevin said he was confused about the Town's expectations about the change of use. Tyson said the Building Inspector did not know and it was not a big deal.

Tyson invited him to come with a site plan and put in the extra greenhouse idea. The abutters would have a chance to make a comment on the plans. Brendan agreed it was a prudent way to get abutter views and it would give them due process. Hopefully it would go smoothly and the approval would be granted.

Brendan said he disagrees with the way a lot of towns have dealt with site plan review. They are looking at the specific situation and abutters' interests. It is not an opportunity to say it is not allowed when the use is already allowed.

Tyson asked Howard if he had views. Howard said he appreciated what Kevin has done in town. He had looked at the zoning and these were allowable as right. Maybe there should have been a site plan originally. Would Kevin need a professional surveyor to do a site plan? Tyson said no, a hand-drawn drawing was sufficient. Brendan agreed that he should start with something sufficient to show them what is going on. Tyson said to Howard that he wanted abutters to have a hearing too. Tyson said that people often wonder why they have to come to Planning if something is allowed but it is more about the issues for neighbors.

191

158	There was some discussion about definitions of greenhouses, about the
159	possible placing of the greenhouses (N/S, E/W), the use of heaters, and
160	the way towns tend to work these things out community to community.
161	
162	Kent said they would be working on the Plan for Tomorrow this year and
163	asked if Kevin would consider being an alternate for that. Tyson wondered
164	about Wayne Mann's opinions for the Agricultural Commission, on this.
165	Kevin said he had worked with Wayne, who had a greenhouse too.
166	
167	Tyson suggested putting in as many options as possible for the site plan
168	review. Kevin commented there was a finite amount he could do on that
169	space. Kent said it would be nice to see the old wall cleaned up and asked
170	about neighbors. Brendan said to the extent he runs it by the neighbors,
171	they could send letters for the site plan to be supportive.
172	
173	Kent asked about a motion. There would be no vote – it is just a conceptua
174	discussion in an area where the regulations do allow commercial
175	greenhouses and sales.
176	
177	4. Other business
178	
179	In terms of old business, Tyson referred to the 3 letters already written to
180	the campground applicants with their notices of decision.
181	
182	Brendan apologized for missing the last meeting due to a conflicting work
183	commitment.
184	
185	There was no new business so Kent moved to adjourn and Brendan
186	seconded. All were in favor of adjourning at 7.55 pm.
187	
188	
189	Respectfully submitted,
190	Lois Scribner, secretary to the Planning Board.