## **Final Minutes - Planning Board Work Session**

## 2 September 13, 2022

- 3 Members Present
- 4 Greg Meeh, (Chair), Scott Doherty (Vice Chair), John Schneider; Ann Berry, Hillary
- 5 Nelson, Logan Snyder (alternates)
- 6 Absent

1

- 7 Kent Ruesswick (BOS rep), Anne Dowling (at Primary election), Joshua Gordon,
- 8 Lucy Nichols
- 9 Others Present
- 10 Mike Tardiff, Director and staff Matt Monahan of CNHRPC
- 11 <u>Agenda</u>
- 1. <u>Call to Order</u>
- Greg Meeh called the meeting to order at 7 pm.
- 14 Greg invited the alternates to be seated during the meeting.
- 2. Minutes of August 23, 2022
- Scott Doherty moved Minutes of the August 23 work session. John Schneider
- seconded. All present voted in favor to approve those minutes.
- 3. Master Plan/Plan for Tomorrow Ongoing Work with Mike Tardiff
- 19 The discussion was preceded by the question of whether funding was available
- 20 for mixed housing projects in the State. Mike said that Invest New Hampshire had
- 100 million dollars available and several programs in operation to help towns
- move forward with schemes. It is great opportunity. Their office will be assisting
- towns with these applications and updating zoning and planning regulations
- regarding housing. NH Housing Authority is involved in the administration too. He
- suggested that these longer-term issues which will require more education in
- town should wait to be presented at 2024 Town Meeting. This would include the
- 27 mixed-use zoning changes to be proposed for Exits 17-18 and Rt 106. More short
- term issues could still come to 2023 Town Meeting. The longer-term issues
- 29 needed more time for discussion and education in town.

All these issues tied into the Master Plan work too. Mike has to talk to Ken Folsom about funding for the next Phase of the Master Plan work. This would be Phase 2, finishing the Land Use, Economic Development and Housing chapters, putting in visuals and maps. It is hoped the Town can put in \$5000 and the CNHRPC will match with \$2500. Then look at the Invest NH procedures and other Master Plan chapters. And then the regulatory changes, aiming for Town Meeting 2024.

Mike will share his draft with Greg and then they will talk with Ken Folsom.

For the next vision session, it was agreed to choose Tuesday October 11, in the Town Hall, pizza at 6:30 pm, discussion at 7 pm, specifically for issues relating to the commercial and industrial zones at Exits 17 and 18 and Rt 106. Secretary to book Town Hall, Mike T to draft text blurb, Secretary to send that to Town Newsletter and town email and also draft letters to individual property owners in these zones (addresses from Mandy in town office.). Logan offered to collect pizzas. Secretary to ask about PB budget for postage and refreshments.

There was further discussion:

 About the very noticeable changes at Exit 17 with the new traffic patterns and retail developments. There are new opportunities there. There might be other examples of mixed zoning that the Board could look at in another town, such as Bow.

And the idea of Conditional Use Permits – the CNHRPC sees other towns dealing with tensions about boundaries between zoning and planning boards around this issue – Mike suggested a joint meeting with the ZBA and PB. Greg asked about focusing on certain issues where a CUP might be especially helpful – Matt Monahan thought that there were environmental issues such as ground water quality where conditional use permits could be useful. Permission could be given for certain uses IF an applicant then did the necessary things to protect ground water. Cluster Housing might be another such example with the conditions for open spaces.

 The possibility of having zoning that permitted multi family housing with or without onsite management – it was suggested that required legal opinions depending on number of units and uses. It could be one way of permitting multi family housing that did not require going to a ZBA for variances or Special Exceptions – just come to Planning for a 'one stop shop'.

## 4. CNHRPC Training on new zoning and planning laws in NH

Matt Monahan distributed the PowerPoint he had condensed from information given out by the NHMA. This will be put up in the Town Office, the Store and Library too. The net effect of these laws adopted this year are upon how land use boards do business and how land can be freed for properties with primarily religious purposes – these are HB 1661 and HB 1021 respectively:

 There is no mandated training for board members, but the CNHRPC will alert members when there are more materials available and with any help they can offer (see Addendum) – it may be from NHMA – or the OPD (formerly OSI) – the changes went into effect on August 23 this year

The simplest requirement under Section 71 to start with is to ensure that
ALL FEES FOR LANDUSE ARE PUBLICLY POSTED on the town website and in
the town office – everything included for both zoning and planning
applications and fees. This issue to be added to the items Greg and Mike
will talk to Ken Folsom about

• Under Section 73, Written Findings, requires written specific findings of fact to support approvals or disapprovals voted on by boards. It is not clear yet how far this is going to require town counsel to be involved. Nor who should pay for that legal advice. Findings of fact should be listed first, then the conditions attached by a board. The new requirements seem more fitting for large towns and cities with professional staff but apply to all towns. It encourages towns to employ lawyers. There is time written into the regulations for boards to consider a draft of a decision and then vote on it at a subsequent hearing.

• Under Section 75, re Timeline: this is not changed but confirms that a board has 30 days to decide upon the completeness of a new application. Then the Board has 65 days to hold hearings and come to a decision. There is longer if an application is deemed of Regional Impact – now there are 30

days extra added for notification of all interested parties, making 95 days for hearings for Regional Impact applications.

105106107

108

109

104

 Religious Uses – from HB 1021 – it takes away the power of zoning ordinances and site plan reviews to prohibit, regulate or restrict land use or structure that are 'primarily for religious purposes' – it says nothing about state or federal regulations while imposing limits on municipalities

110111112

113

114

115

• The question of the state constitution allowing religious freedom but only with some conditions was raised – is this law unconstitutional? The Article in the Constitution refers to the right to worship according to individual conscience provided it "doth not disturb the public peace or disturb other in their religious worship".

116117118

 Members noted this is pertinent to the situation with the Pachamama Ayahuasca Retreat in Canterbury

119120121

This bill will be tested in the courts

122123

 Questions may be posed to the NHMA lawyers in the first instance, as they are free

124125126

127

128

 PB to talk to the Town Administrator and Board of Selectmen about the implications for legal costs - there are examples where towns pay 2 types of lawyer fees – one set of fees for reviewing documents that could be passed on to applicants and another set of fees charged for lawsuits

129130131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

## **ACTION ITEMS**

- Mike and Greg to meet with Ken Folsom for Master Plan funding: suggested time frame for zoning changes during 2023 into 2024: implications for the new land use laws especially posting of all fees and legal costs
- 2. Mike to draft blurb info for the October 11 vision session
- 3. Secretary to book Town Hall, put out publicity in town newsletter and town email and pass on to Logan for Facebook and ask re reimbursements
- 4. Secretary to use that info to send letters to property and business owners in the Commercial and Industrial zones in town

141	5. CNHRPC to alert PB about training and/or send any helpful materials
142	
143	5. <u>Adjournment</u>
144	Scott Doherty made a motion to adjourn. John Schneider seconded. All voted in
145	favor. It was 8:30 pm.
146	
147	Respectfully submitted,
148	
149	Lois Scribner, secretary
150	
151	<u>Addendum – suggested wording from Matt Monahan re Planning Board written</u>
152	Notices of Decision with the finding of fact requirement
153	
154	For an approval (first highlighted section is for the type of application, the second
155	is for the particular regulation - site plan, sub, etc.):
156	
157	"I make a motion to conditionally approve XXX with the following conditions given
158	that the proposal, in the opinion of the Planning Board, complies with the Zoning
159	Ordinance and the XXX Regulations given the plans presented and waivers
160	granted."
161	
162	For a denial we would need to cite the specific portion of the zoning or regs that is
163	the reason for the denial, so it is a little different:
164	"I make a motion to deny XXX without prejudice due to the fact that the plans, as
165	provided, do not comply with [CITE SPECIFIC SE
166	provided, do not comply with [cire specific se
167 168	
169	
109	
170	
171	
172	
173	
174	
175	