1 2	Fi	nal minutes	
3		Canterbury Conservation Commission Meeting JUNE 14, 2021	
4 5		Weeting JONE 14, 2021	
6 7	M	embers Present:	
8 9		elly Short (Moderator), Ken Stern (Chair), Steve Seron, Sara Riordan, nda Fife, Bob Steenson (BOS rep)	
10 11	Ak	osent: Ashley Ruprecht, Teresa Wyman, Robert Fife	
12 13 14	Kelly Short opened the meeting at 7: 04pm.		
15 16	Ag	genda:	
17 18	1.	Previous Minutes – May 10	
19 20 21 22		Steve Seron moved the minutes and Ken Stern seconded. Bob Steenson abstained as he had not been present. All other members voted aye.	
23 24	2.	Items to review or discuss	
25 26		Conservation property priority process	
27 28 29		Kelly is still waiting for the last two member surveys to come in. She will remind those members.	
30 31 32 33		Kelly has spoken with Emily Preston who had a long career working for NH Fish and Game. Emily is keen to be involved in the priority setting process and has experience with that kind of work. Members agreed that was a good idea. Kelly will meet with Emily to let her know what has	
<ul><li>34</li><li>35</li><li>36</li></ul>		been done so far.  Permits – intent to cut forms	
37 38 39		There were none.	

Potential Conservation project proposal

Kelly had visited the potential project over the weekend. She had drawn a map of it to share with members for discussion. There are two driveway lots from DOT.

Many pines have been taken down because they were over mature but good forestry practices were followed. More trees will be planted. Kelly also had the Wildlife Action map to share, showing the property lot in relation to Burnham Brook and several other properties.

Discussion followed and members reached a consensus not to pursue the conservation project.

Planning Board wetlands setbacks and impervious surfaces update

Ashley had attended the Planning Board meeting a month ago. It was thought there was an interest in wetland setbacks but uncertainty about how to address the issue and move forward with an ordinance. The Board of Selectmen have concerns regarding enforcement. Landowners would also have to pay for expensive wetland scientist reports. There had been strong push back to such an ordinance back in 2004.

 There was discussion about possibly tackling a stream buffer instead. Canterbury has many streams so this would be a meaningful way to start wetland protection.

Members present were in favor of starting with a streams buffer. Kelly would contact Ashely to ask her opinion on this. The CCC would discuss this again before getting back to the Planning Board.

Glines easement project – ongoing

There were no updates.

• Other business

(i) Map systems: there was discussion of various map systems that were available.

80	(ii)	Former Mourning Dove property: the Carsons were moving ahead		
81		with their project and had expressed the wish to have a conserva-		
82		tion easement on it.		
83				
84	(iii)	Potential conservation of a 500-acre lot next to Shaker Village,		
85		where 5 Rivers are already involved. It has historical value too.		
86		Bob introduced a generic discussion of zoning. New roads are pro-		
87		hibited in Ag and Rural zones because major subdivisions are not		
88		allowed.		
89				
90	(iv)	The large logging project on Bean Hill – the Road Agent has put		
91		off repaving the road until that is completed.		
92				
93	Bob made a motion to adjourn at 8:50 pm. Steve seconded.			
94				
95	Next meeting: July 12, 7 pm, Meeting House			
96				
97	Respectfully submitted,			
98	Lois Scribner.			